

Directorate of Quality Assurance



Monitoring and Evaluation Framework in National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN)

1st Edition 20th March 2025

labi	e of C	ontents							Page
List c	of Tables	s and Figures						 	2
Acror	nyms ar	nd Abbreviatio	ns					 	2
Fore	word					••		 	3
Prefa	ice and	Acknowledge	ment					 	4
Introd	duction							 	5
1.0:	Intern	al Quality Ass	urance	e Moni	toring	at NOL	JN	 	5
1.1:	Defini	tion, Purpose,	and 0	Guiding	Princ	iples		 	5
	1.2:	The Monitori	ng Exe	ercise i	n NOL	JN		 	6
	1.3:	Monitoring P	repara	ation ar	nd Des	ign in l	NOUN	 	7
	1.4:	Implementati	ion of	Monito	ring D	esign		 	125
	1.5:	Monitoring F	inding	s and l	Jsage			 	127
2.0	Intern	al Evaluation	at NO	UN				 	128
	2.1:	Definition, Pu	ırpose	e, and 0	Guidin	g Princ	iples	 	128
	2.2:	Evaluation P	repara	ation ar	nd Des	ign		 	129
	2.3:	Implementati	on of	Evalua	tion D	esign		 	145
	2.4:	Evaluation F	inding	s and (Comm	unicatio	on	 	146
3.0	Writin	g M&E Repor	ts					 	147
	3.1:	Planning Wri	ting M	&E Re	ports			 	147
	3.2:	Writing the M	1&E R	eports				 	148
	3.3:	The Importar	nce of	M&E F	Report	s in NC	UN	 	150
	3.4:	Challenges of	of M&E	Repo	rts			 	150

List of Tables and Figures

Table 1.1:	Standards for Determining the Level of Performance
Table 1.2	Monitoring Mapping (NOUN Monitoring Tool)
Table 1.3	KPI for Monitoring Staff Performance in NQMS
Table 1.4	Monitoring Tools for the Implementation of Suggested Improvements
Table 2.1	Guide used in Evaluating the Findings from Monitoring Activities
Table 2.2	Evaluation Metrics in NOUN
Figure 1.1	NOUN QA Framework

Acronyms and Abbreviations

DMIS Directorate of Management Information System

DQA Directorate of Quality Assurance

IQA Internal Quality Assurance

KPI Key Performance Indicators

M&E Monitoring and Evaluation

NMT NOUN Monitoring Tool

NOUN National Open University of Nigeria

NUC National Universities Commission

NQMS NOUN Quality Management System

QAOs Quality Assurance Officers

SOP Standard Operating Procedure

Foreword

Open and Distance Learning (ODL) has become a cornerstone of accessible and

inclusive education, providing opportunities for diverse learners across the globe. As

an institution committed to excellence, we recognize the importance of effective

monitoring and evaluation in fostering continuous improvement and ensuring quality

in our programmes.

This Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework has been meticulously developed

to serve as a guiding tool for assessing and enhancing our teaching, learning, and

administrative processes. By adopting this framework, we aim to uphold the highest

standards of education while identifying areas for innovation and addressing

challenges that may arise in the dynamic landscape of ODL.

At the heart of this framework lies our unwavering commitment to our students.

Through rigorous data collection, analysis, and evaluation, we endeavour to create a

learner-centred approach that empowers students to reach their full potential.

Additionally, this framework underscores the importance of collaboration among

stakeholders – faculty, staff, and students in achieving our shared vision.

I am confident that this M&E Framework will pave the way for sustained growth,

efficiency, and excellence in service delivery in our institution. I urge all stakeholders

to engage fully with this document, leveraging its principles to achieve our strategic

goals and upholding the core values that define our university.

Together, we will ensure that the promise of quality and accessible education through

the ODL model continues to shine brightly.

1200

Professor Olufemi Peters

Vice-Chancellor

3

Preface and Acknowledgement

Internal Quality Assurance (IQA) is an integral part of open and distance learning institutions. It is a tool used in ensuring continuous improvement of service delivery. NOUN in recognition of this, sets up the Directorate of Quality Assurance with the mandate of ensuring continuous improvement in academic and operational activities in the university. A call for continuous improvement also calls for the identification of existing gaps. Therefore, identifying the gaps require monitoring and evaluation which will help in providing statistical evidence that would lead to appropriate suggestions and recommendations of the type and method of improvement required. It could be said that having monitoring and evaluation framework in NOUN is strategic and in time. On this note, I acknowledge the management team in NOUN especially the Vice Chancellor, Prof. Olufemi Peters who established the directorate of quality assurance because he recognised its importance. Not only did he establish the directorate, he to acknowledge the DQA staff and DMIS Team - Mr. Adeyinka Adeboyejo, Mr. Ikechukwu Akujobi and Mr. Mukhtar Umar Muhammad for their support in developing NQMS and the framework. This framework will guide the Quality Assurance Officers on internal monitoring and evaluation of academic and operational activities in NOUN.

Prof. Obhajajie Juliet Inegbedion

Director, Quality Assurance

Introduction

The National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN) is a single mode open and distance learning university driven by technology. NOUN is committed to providing quality education at higher education and lifelong learning to all who yearn for it. NOUN has both internal and external quality measures in ensuring the quality of its academic and operational activities. The internal quality assurance checks for the compliance of established academic and operational tasks, monitors and evaluate the inputs, process, outputs, outcomes, and impacts of the activities in line with the institution's vision, mission, objectives, and core values. The external quality assurance involves mainly periodic accreditation exercises by the national regulatory body, the National Universities Commission (NUC). The international accreditation bodies and professional bodies are equally involved in the external quality measures in institutional and programme accreditation. The focus of this framework is on internal quality assurance in NOUN.

1.0: Internal Quality Assurance Monitoring at NOUN

The National Open University of Nigeria has Quality Assurance Officers (QAOs) who carry out monitoring and evaluation exercises in the university. They monitor the process of academic and operational activities.

1.1: Definition, Purpose, and Guiding Principles

Monitoring is the process of collecting, analysing, and using the information to track the progress of academic and operational activities within the university. The purpose is to ensure that activities are on track and to identify gaps that would need adjustment. This is different from compliance. Compliance check verifies adherence to the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) of academic and operational activities in the university. While compliance check and verify adherence, monitoring track progress using verifiable data.

1.2: The Monitoring Exercise in NOUN

There are two categories of internal monitoring in NOUN – academic and operational. The major activity of the university is academic but supported with operational activities. Academic deals with teaching and learning, research, innovation and community development with the focus of producing quality graduates. Internal quality assurance processes for both academic and operational activities in NOUN are classified into six categories for internal monitoring thus:

- 1. Institutional governance and management
- 2. Teaching and learning
- 3. Collaboration, Partnerships, and Linkages
- 4. Research, Innovation and Technology
- 5. Study centres
- 6. Internal Quality Assurance

The categories serve as the criteria used in monitoring. On each criterion, the objectives, inputs, activities, outputs/indicators are stated in line with the university related policies and procedures. The outcomes are taken as the indicators upon which the performance is measured. Standards are defined to determine the level of performance as shown in Table 1.1. It is not a yes or no response.

Table 1.1: Standards for Determining the Level of Performance


Rating	Level of Performance	Description
3	Outputs/Indicators	The activities leading to the outputs/indicators
	exceed the objectives	exceed the stated activities
2	Outputs/indicators	The activities leading to the outputs/indicators
	meet the objectives	meet the stated activities
1	The objectives are	The activities were not clearly reflected in the
	partially met	outputs/indicators.
0	The objectives were not	The evidence did not reflect the objectives. There
	met.	was also deviation from the required activities.
NA	The activities did not	The evidence and indicators are not relevant to
	apply	the objectives.

Each criterion is broken down into components, each component is assessed using the standards. The weighted mean of a criterion components is used to determine the performance level of that criterion. From the components assessments, it can easily be ascertained if the components are of high performance or not. Any weighted mean below 2 calls for immediate attention.

During physical monitoring, the open-ended responses are used to elicit information from the concerned respondents. This is done to get the actual happenings at the respective faculties, directorates, study centres, academic centres and units.

1.3: Monitoring Preparation and Design in NOUN

Before embarking on monitoring activities, there is the mapping of categories/criteria with required data, performance indicators, and standard for measurement. This is done in line with NOUN Quality Assurance Framework shown in Figure 1.1



Is the programme producing the desired effect?

Figure 1.1 NOUN QA Framework

From the data in Figure 1.1, Inputs, activities, and outputs are monitored. For effective monitoring, this process is mapped as presented in Table 1.2. The mapping is used as the tool for monitoring.

Table 1.2 Monitoring Mapping (NOUN Monitoring Tool)

Table 1.2	Monitoring Map	ping (NOON Monit	oring roor)		1	1	1	1	
Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Institution al governan ce and managem ent									
University's vision	2. To inspire and motivate stakeholder s towards equal access, flexible, cost effective, and quality education to all who demand	 The institution's primary purpose The institution's Core values The strength of the institution. Stakeholder s needs The institution's long-term aspirations and ambitions. The institution's legacy and impact. 	1. The university strategic planning. 2. Engaging stakeholder s (staff, learners, employers, industries, etc) in reflecting on shared goals and aspirations. 3. Communica ting the vision to stakeholder s (learners, staff, industry etc).	 Vision statement. Mission statement. Strategic plan. Meeting extracts between managem ent and learners. Meeting extracts between managem extracts between managem ent and staff. Website and documents where the vision and 	 The university strategic plan. Extracts of meetings of congregation. Extracts of meetings of meetings of management with learners. The university website link. Documents that communicate the vision to stakeholders. Documents that show the implementations of the vision. 	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	0	bjectives	In	puts	Act	tivities	0	utputs	R	equired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					4. 5.	Implementa tion of the vision. Reporting and celebrating success of novelty.		mission are stated.						
University mission	2.	university core purpose and the reason for its establishme nt. Provides guidance for the university activities and	 3. 4. 	fundamental reason or purpose for the institution. Core values and guiding principles. Stakeholder s needs. The services offered by the institution. The unique value proposition. Highlights of what sets the institution apart from competitors.	 3. 4. 	Stating the mission. Defining measurable goals. Strategic planning. Developing the action plans and allocation of resources. Communic ating the mission to internal and external stakeholder s. Updating stakeholder s regularly	2.	Strategic alignment of academic and operational activities with the core purpose and values of the mission. Defined performance metrics to measure progress towards achieving the mission and objectives. Resources (financial, human,	1. 2. 3.	The university strategic plan. University end of year report. Academic and operational budget.	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	values and commitment s to internal and external stakeholders .	What unique benefits or advantages is the institution providing. 6. The long-term goals and aspirations that the institution aims to achieve.	on success made.	technology etc) allocated to academic and operational activities that support the mission. 4. Increased enrolment rates in the academic programmes . 5. Improved student performance and graduation rates.					
University organogra m	Provide an organisational structure that will support the vision and mission of the university	 University vision and mission. Governance structure e.g. university council, senate etc. 	Data collection to identify key position and role description s. Structuring the	The university organogram	The university organogram Minutes or extract of Council(s) meeting showing when the approval was given or	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		3. Executive leadership e.g. VC, DVC etc. 4. Academic Affairs e.g. Deans, HoDs etc 5. Administrati on and Support services e.g. learner support, bursary, Audit, human resources, IT services, facility manageme nt. 6. Positions and titles within the university. E.g. Research and Innovation	organogra m into hierarchy and divisions. 3. Designing and laying out a visual representati on with clear labels and titles. 4. Validating and approving the design and layout by the university Council. 5. Implementing the approval by distributing the approved organogra m to university members and		letter of approval 3. The university strategic plan.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		7. Special units e.g. CEMGS	relevant stakeholder s; and providing training to ensure everyone understand s the structure. 6. Updating the organogra m with Council approval due to changes in activities. 7. Alignment of the university structure with its strategic goals and objectives.						
Governan ce: Policy Developm ent	Formulate policies and procedures to guide academic	List of academic activities	Analysis of the education sector with	Policies guiding the various academic and	List of academic and operational	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	and operational activities in the university.	2. List of operational activities	emphasis on socio- economic context, current situation, identified main issues (gap) and challenges that will be addressed in the policy. 2. Policy priorities and strategies – Looking at the education regulatory framework, policy review and existing policy priorities, objectives and targets of the academic or operational activity.	operational activities.	activities in the university. 2. Evidence of fact findings that identified main issues (gaps) and challenges relating to each listed activity. 3. The developed policies for the listed activities.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Governan ce: Accountabi lity and oversight functions	1. Monitors academic and operational performanc e and progress. 2. Ensures transparenc y and accountabilit y through regular audits and evaluation.	1. Academic Performan ce a) Student enrolm ent data. b) Course comple tion	 Implementati on process and priorities. Costing and financing mechanisms where required. Identification of key performance indicators. Academic Performance Monitoring: a) Regular collecti on and analysi s of data on student enrolm ent, course complet ion rates, grades, 	1. Academic Performan ce: a) Course comple tion rates, b) Gradua tion rates, c) Retenti on rates, d) Report from student satisfac tory	1. Student enrolment by semester and programme. 2. International to domestic student ratio 3. Course completion rates, graduation rates, and retention rates. 4. Staff to student ratio	DVC Academic			

Criteria or KPI Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	assign ments, TMAs, exams, and other assess ments. d) Retenti on rates of learner s. e) Gradua tion rates. f) Student feedba ck 2. Operation al Performan ce a) Course Offerin gs b) Resour ce utilisati on – digital	retentio n rates, and graduat ion rates. b) Survey s and feedbac k - conduct ing student satisfac tion, faculty evaluati ons, feedbac k forms to gather qualitati ve data on the learning experie nce. 2. Operationa	survey s and feedba ck e) Learnin g analytic s - trackin g student engage ment, online particip ation, and comple tion of tasks using the LMS. f) Feedba ck on TMAs, authent ic assess ment and	 Doctorate to bachelor's ratio Doctorate awarded to academic staff ratio. Report on learners' satisfaction. Learning analytic report. Samples of feedback on TMAs and authentic assessments to learners. Peer review reports. Reports on the utilisation of teaching and learning resources. Learners and faculty feedback on IT supports. 				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		resourc es, library, internet , LMS etc. c) Faculty perform ance – evaluati on of teachin g effectiv eness, researc h outputs , and adminis trative contrib utions. d) Technic al support – IT support for learner	Performan ce Monitoring: a) Resourc e manage ment – Tracking the utilisatio n of teaching and learning resource s. b) Technica I support - responsi veness of IT support services to both learners and faculty. c) Reviewin g administr	impact on perfor mance. g) Peer reviews - where faculty membe rs peer review course materia ls, questio n items, teachin g method s on the LMS. 2. Operationa I Performan ce: a) Availab ility and	 13. Report on administrative efficiency. 14. Budget performance reports for academic and operational activities. 15. Institutional periodic review reports. 16. Minutes of meetings and extracts of meetings were the periodic review reports. 17. Accreditation reports and verifiable actions for 				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		s and staff. e) Admini strative efficien cy – proces sing times for admissi ons, registra tions, collecti on of course materia Is, respon ds to request s etc. f) Financi al metrics – budget allocati on, expend	ative efficienc y with specific attention on times for admissio n, registrati ons, assigned tasks, identified bottle necks, and areas for improve ment. d) Budget analysis — conducti ng regular financial audits and budget reviews	adequa cy of resourc e. b) Availab ility and adequa cy of IT support for learner s and staff. c) Report on admini strative efficien cy. d) Budget allocati on and perfor mance. 3. Progress: a) Periodi c review report.	improvements 18. Report on research and innovation showing the outputs, innovations, and their impacts. 19. The impacts of the collaborations with educational institutions, industries, and organisations.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs b) Accredi	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		financia I health of acade	efficient use of funds and	reports. c) Report for trackin					
		acade mic and operati onal	and resource s for academi c and	trackin g researc h and innovat					
		activitie s. 3. Progress Monitoring	operatio nal tasks.	ion. d) Impact s on collabo					
		1. Learnin g analytic s –	Monitoring: a) Periodic reviews –	ration and partner ships.					
		Data on student engage	holding regular academ ic and	e) Periodi c review reports.					
		ment, progres s trackin	operatio nal reviews to	f) Accredi tation reports and					
		g, and predicti ve analytic s to	assess the progres s towards	strategi es for improv ement.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		identify at-risk learner s. 2. Curricu lum update s - frequen cy of update s to course content s, teachin g method s and assess ments. 3. Accredi tation status. 4. Partner ships and collabo rations - list of quality	strategi c goals and objectiv es. b) Accredit ation complia nce. c) Resear ch and innovati on tracking . d) Evaluati ng the effective ness of partners hip and collabor ations.	g) Curricu lum Update - Review ing and updatin g course content s.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		partner ships with educati onal instituti ons, industri es, and organis ations. 5. Innovat ion and Resear ch – initiativ es and outcom es relating to educati onal researc h and innovat ion the univers							
		ity has contrib uted to							

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		the society. This will come from sponso red research.							
Governan ce: Stakeholde r Engageme nt	1. To involve stakeholder s (staff, faculty, learners, and community) in decision making process. 2. Build partnership and collaborations with industries and relevan agencies.	tion channels. 2. Established feedback mechanism s. 3. MoUs on partnership s and collaboratio ns. 4. Involvemen t of stakeholder	employers, and community members to discuss goals, challenges, and opportunitie s.	Reports, minutes of meetings, extracts of meetings, letters, memos, links, etc.	Reports, minutes of meetings, extracts of meetings, letters, memos, links, and other document or sources to support the activities carried on stakeholders' engagements.	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		curriculum developme nt, course design and developme nt, policy formulation, and strategic planning. 5. Reports and newsletters on regular updates provided for stakeholder s' university developme nts, achieveme nts, achieveme nts, and future plans. 6. Training and developme nt for stakeholder	insights and suggestions from stakeholder s on academic and operational activities. 4. Open forum or town hall meetings						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		s such as professiona I developme nt workshops for faculty, staff, learners, orientation programme s for new learners.	stakeholder s can share their opinions, ask questions, and engage in discussions with university manageme nt. 5. Building partnerships and collaboratio ns with educational institutions, industries, government bodies, and others to enhance the quality and reach of the institution. 6. Student and Alumni events such						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		8. Recognitio n and appreciatio n such as giving awards, certificates, and public acknowled gement to learners, staff, and others for their outstanding contribution s. 9. Transparen cy and accountabil ity – methods of ensuring transparen cy and accountabil ity in the university operations and decision-	as organising orientation programme s, graduation ceremonies, alumni association, and other community engagemen t. 7. Organising and implementin g community outreach programme s that involves stakeholder s' initiatives that benefit the local community, such as providing health services,						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		making processes that build trust and credibility among stakeholder s.	educational support, etc. 8. Transparen cy in communicat ing with stakeholder s through newsletters, reports, social media, and other channels.						
Governan ce: Financial Manageme nt	1. Overseeing the university's budget and ensuring its healthy financial performanc e 2. Ensuring efficient allocation of resources and financial sustainabilit y.	1. Budget plan for academic and operational activities such as expected revenues and expenditure s for funding faculty salaries, infrastructu re,	1. The university strategic planning showing the alignment of the institution's financial manageme nt with the institution's long-term goals and strategic plan. Also, developing	plan	 The university academic plan. The university strategic plan Industry income through knowledge transfer. 	The University Bursar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		technology, and operational cost. 2. Sources of revenue generations such as tuition fees, course material fees, governmen t grants, donations, partnership s with private organisatio n etc. 3. Cost control mechanism s for academic and operational activities such optimising resource	growth, innovation, and sustainabilit y in academic and operational activities. 2. Communica ting the financial status and decisions to stakeholder	stakeholders . 3 Yearly budget plan and implementati on strategies. 4 Yearly financial reports. 5 List of staff and learners that gained from the university financial aids. 6 Financial audit reports. 7 Implementat ion of financial audit reports					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		utilisation, better negotiation s with suppliers, and reduction of unnecessar y expenses. 4. Periodic financial reports. This includes income statements, balance sheets, and cash flow statements. 5. Financial audit and compliance . Internal and external audit to ensure compliance with	financial operations. 3. Allocation of resources and monitoring strategies including regular review for efficient use of financial, physical, and human resources. 4. Annual budget planning and allocation to include the allocation of funds to different academic and operational activities. 5. Planning revenue generation						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		financial regulations and standards to maintain transparen cy and accountabil ity. 6. Investment manageme nt — process of managing university's investment s. 7. Technology integration into the financial manageme nt of the institution such as automated accounting systems, financial manageme nt.	by exploring opportunities for additional funding such as research grants, short courses, and consultancy services, 6. Expenditure control – monitoring and controlling expenses to prevent overspending by implementing costsaving measures and efficient resource utilisation. 7. Financial reporting –						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		8. Stakeholde r communica tion – keeping stakeholder s informed about the financial healthiness through regular updates, meetings, and reports. 9. Strategic plan – longterm financial strategies to support the university's growth and developme nt goals, including expansion plans, new programme	preparing regular financial statements, including income statement, balance sheets, and cash flow statements and conduct financial analysis to ascertain the financial standing of the university. 8. Financial audit and compliance — conducting internal and external audits to ensure compliance with the strategic						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		developme nt, and infrastructu re developme nt.	plan and SOP; and implementat ion of the audit reports. 9. Investment manageme nt — managing investment portfolios towards achieving a healthy return, minimising risk, and diversifying investments in ensuring stability and growth. 10. Financial Aid Manageme nt — administrati on of scholarship s; grants;						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			conference, seminars and workshop sponsorship s; and financial aids for learners by ensuring equitable and efficient distribution of financial aid.						
Governan ce: Risk Manageme nt	Identifying and mitigating potential risks to the university. Implementin g strategies to handle crises and emergencie s.	1. Risk identification 2. Risk assessment 3. Risk mitigation 4. Monitoring and review – key risk indicators, regular audits and reviews, incident	 Identifying risks Assessing and analysing risks Developing risk mitigation plan. Implementing risk control. Monitoring and 	 Identified and documente d risks. Risk mitigation and control measures. Monitoring reports. Incident manageme nt Financial impact. 	1. Risk identification data to include risk register, historical incident data, and stakeholder feedback. 2. Risk assessment data showing the qualitative and quantitative	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectiv	res	Inputs	Ac	tivities	Ou	itputs	Rec	quired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			reporting systems, etc.	6.	reporting incidences. Reviewing and improving risk manageme nt.				analysis and impact on the identified risk.			·	
Governan ce: Ethical Leadership	decis makii all lev the unive 2. Ensu that t unive action with i	al uct and ion- ng at vels of ersity. ring he ersity's ns align ts es and iples.	 The university ethical leadership framework that shows code of ethics and ethical policies. The university ethical decision-making tools. The university ethical decision-making tools. 	 2. 3. 	Developing and communica ting ethical standards. Promoting a culture of integrity. promoting open communica tion. Implementing reporting mechanism s such as whistleblow ing protection, incident reporting.	 3. 4. 	institutional culture. Ethical decision making such as informed decisions, transparen cy in decision making.	3.	The university policy on ethical leadership. Report of training and development on ethical behaviours. Feedback from learners, staff, and other stakeholders. Ethical incidents and reporting. Ethics related performance indicators such as number of ethical	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		involves ethical audits in assessing the effectivene ss of ethical policies and practices. 4. Recognitio n and rewards - defining method of recognising and rewarding individuals who demonstrat e exceptional ethical behaviour and leadership through incentives such as	 5. Engaging stakeholder s, 6. Monitoring and evaluating ethical practices. 7. Recognisin g and rewarding ethical behaviours. 	6. Positive stakeholder relationship s and trust.	breaches, resolution time for ethical issues, and compliance with ethical standards 6. Communicati on and transparency with documentatio n of how ethical issues and policies are communicate d to staff and learners. 7. Record of recognition, awards, and honour programmes. 8. Organisationa I culture showing data on employee engagement and morale				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives				Activities		Outputs		Required Data		Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			awards, certificates, and public recognition.						which can be influenced by ethical behaviour of leadership.					
Governan ce: Student Involveme nt	3	Providing feedback on academic programme s and services Participatin g in curriculum and course design and developme nt and reviews. Engaging in discussions on institutional improveme nt. Contributin g to institutional internal monitoring,	1 2	Current students Alumni	2	In-person representati on of students during the developmen t and review of OPP and DPP. In-person representati on of students during course design, developmen t and reviews. Getting feedback from students on services received	3	Learner friendly course materials. Better student service such as academic advising, and support Increased student awareness of QA processes. Enhanced student-institution relations.	3	Team list for the development of OPP and DPP, course design. Minutes of meetings or extracts of decision reflecting students' participation. Report on internal monitoring, accreditation, and audit with specific roles played by the students. Other evidence of what the institution has gained by	Director, Academic Planning			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	accreditatio ns and audits.		from the university.		involving students in its governance.				
Managem ent: Operationa I Manageme nt of academic activities	1. Overseeing the day-to-day operations and administrati on of academic activities 2. Ensuring efficient managemen t of teaching and learning facilities, resources, and support services.	 The university strategic plan. Required resources – human, finance, and physical Technology integration system. Policies and procedures – policies guiding various activities and the Standard Operating Procedures (S0Ps) that document procedures that outline 	1. Planning and coordinatin g – course planning such as programme offering, curricula and resource allocation. 2. Learners' enrolment and registration and data manageme nt such as maintaining accurate records of learners' enrolment, course registration, and academic	1. Improved learners' academic success with high completion rates, successful attainment of learning outcomes, and high graduation rates. 2. Efficient resource utilisation. 3. Quality assurance. Maintaining accreditation status and compliance with educational standards and	1. Student data: Enrolme nt and registrati on Academi c performa nce Record of attendan ce and participat ion in virtual classes, discussio ns, and engagem ent with learning materials . 2. Course data to show curriculum,	DVC Academic			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		how the tasks are carried out. 5. Data and information to include operational data/metric s such as student enrolment numbers, registration details, course offerings, completion rates, student engageme nt, academic performanc e, faculty and staff manageme nt to include workload distribution and	progression . 3. Delivery courses to include content developme nt and virtual class manageme nt. 4. Providing student support services through academic advising and technical support. 5. Monitoring and evaluation. Monitoring performanc e by tracking and analysing learners'	regulations and consistent delivery of high-quality courses and programme s. 4. Learners' satisfaction 5. Operational effectivene ss showing reduction in inefficiencie s, enhance productivity , and prompt and effective support services for learners and staff. 6. The university reputation. Evidence with	programmes and courses. 3. Faculty and staff data showing workload distribution and professional development. 4. Financial data showing income, budget and expenses. 5. Resource utilisation data showing data on student access to digital libraries, course materials, multimedia content, and technical support requests.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		professiona I developme nt, financial data, and performanc e metrics i.e. Key Performanc e Indicators (KPIs) that measures the effectivene ss and efficiency of operations. 6. Resource utilisation.	performanc e, attendance, and engagemen t to identify gaps for improveme nt, and conducting evaluations of courses and facilitators to gather feedback for necessary adjustment s. 6. Quality Assurance. Implementi ng quality control measures in ensuring consistency and effectivenes	feedback from stakeholder s and ranking.	6. Feedback from learners and facilitators.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			s of course delivery. 7. Financial manageme nt. Developing and managing budgets to ensure financial sustainabilit y of the university and monitoring and controlling expenses related to course delivery, technology and support services.						
Managem ent: Human Resource Manageme nt	Recruiting, hiring, and training of staff and faculty.	Strategic workforce plan. Policies guiding recruitment	Recruiting and selecting the workforce.	Enhanced quality of workforce Staff satisfactio n and	List of staff by category. Job analysis and descriptions.	Registrar			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	2. Managing employee relations, performanc e, and professional developmen t.	and selection. 3. HR Policies and laws guiding 4. Staff training and developme nt plan. 5. Performan ce manageme nt plan and performanc e metrics. Stated Key Performan ce Indicators (KPIs) to measure staff performanc e and productivity 6. Compensat ion and benefits	 Training and developing the workforce. Monitoring and assessing staff performanc e. Providing safety, compensati on, benefits, and motivation to staff. Providing conducive working environme nt to staff. 	engageme nt. 3. Increased performan ce and productivit y. 4. Improved diversity and inclusion of staff and supportive work environme nt. 5. Efficient resource managem ent. This includes optimal resource allocation, and costeffective operations in HR related costs such as	 Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for staff activities. Staff performance indicators Staff performance reports. 				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		plan such as salary structure and other benefits like health insurance, retirement plans, etc. 7. Programm es and policies that promote diversity, equity, and inclusion of the workforce. 8. Manageme nt and staff relationship . 9. Health and staff safety.		salaries, benefits, and training expenses. 6. Learners' satisfactio n.					
Managem ent: Academic manageme nt	Developing and maintaining academic programme	The university policies and procedures for	Designing and developing curriculum which is the Outline	Student success. Improved academic achieveme nt with	Yearly report on academic management.	Director, Academic Planning			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	s and curricula. 2. Ensuring high standards of teaching, research, and learner support.	design:	guidance to learners on enrolment and course registration. 4. Facilitating courses virtually and providing instructional support to faculty.	evidence of mastery of learning outcomes, enhanced grades, and increased graduation rates. 2. High quality course materials that meet the need of learners and society. 3. High score in accreditati on. 4. Improved student engageme nt and retention with high retention rates.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		faculty memb ers with respec tive experti se. Ongoi ng profes sional develo pment for faculty	and analysing data on student performance , retention rates, and completion	5. Improved ranking of the university by stakeholde rs.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		nt skills. 4. A robust Learning Manageme nt System (LMS) that support teaching and learning with adequate technical support. 5. Academic advising services guiding learners on course selection, study plan, academic progress, providing facilitation and mentorship .	10. Integrating technology to improve teaching and learning.						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		6. Assessme nt and evaluation; developed diverse assessmen t tools to evaluate student learning and evaluation criteria that are clear and consistent for evaluating student performanc e and providing feedback. 7. Academic data and analytics to show data on student performanc e, engageme							

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		nt, retention and how is used in decision making. 8. Feedback mechanism s showing the method of collecting data from learners and usage.							
Managem ent: Learner Support Services	Providing support services such as counselling, career guidance, and extracurricul ar activities to the learners. Ensuring the wellbeing and engagement	The university policy guiding learner services. Academic support mechanism s Technical support mechanism s	Developing institutional guiding policies for learner support services. Providing academic support to learners in facilitation, study resources, and academic	 Improved academic performan ce of learners. Increased student enrolment Increased learners' satisfactio n. Increased retention and 	 Relevant policies. Semester report on support provided to learners. 	Director, Counselli ng and Career Developm ent			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	of the learners.	4. Library and learning resources 5. Method of communica tion and collaboration 6. Counsellin g services mechanism s 7. Financial support mechanism s 8. Scope of accessibilit y and inclusivity. 9. Feedback and evaluation mechanism s 10. Method for orientation and onboarding .	advising in course selection, study planning, and academic progressio n. 3. Providing technical support to learners by having dedicated helpdesk officers to help resolve challenges in their learning platforms, software, devices and instructiona I materials. 4. Providing support in library and	graduation rates. 5. Improved competenc ies. 6. Programm e objectives are met.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			learning resources in having access to digital library and multimedia resources. 5. Communic ating the channels o communication to learners for real time support. This could be both virtual and in-person at the study centres. 6. Providing counselling services to learners or mental health, career services,	f					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			and others that may affect their studies. 7. Providing financial support to learners through scholarship s, grants, and financial counselling. 8. Enhancing accessibilit y and inclusivity by providing assistive technologie s to support learners with disabilities and ensuring that						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			learning materials are accessible and inclusive for all learners. 9. Ensuring feedback is collected from learners on their learning experience s and support services received. 10. Ensuring adequate orientation for new learners and comprehen sive onboarding materials such as						

Criteria or KPI	OI	ojectives	Inputs		Act	ivities	Out	tputs	Req	uired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Managem ent:	1.	Implementin g and	1. Infra	astructu	1.	guides and tutorials to support new learners. Infrastructu	1	Enhanced learning	1	Feedback survey	DVC, TIR			
Technolog y Manageme nt	2.	managing technologic al infrastructur e and systems Enhancing digital learning and administrati ve processes.	• Hore re a to be a so to e e so te g	dardwa e: eliable and up o date nardwar e such as servers, comput ers, and networki ng equipm ent that support eachin g and earning n the universit	2.	Manageme nt. Maintaining infrastructu re with continuousl y updating software. Network and connectivit y. Continuous monitoring of the network to ensure reliable and high-speed connectivit y, implementing and	2	experience with high quality online courses that are well structured, seamless access to resources, engaging, and facilitated by robust LMS. Improved connectivit y and communic ation with evidence of stable and high-	2	reports from learners and staff that meet the stated objectives on technology management and support the outcomes/in dicators. The regulatory standard documents.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Act	iivities	Ou	tputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		Softwar e. Necess ary, adequat e and licensed softwar e applicati ons such as LMS, Microso ft office tools, editing tools, video confere ncing tools, and content creation tools like Adobe suits Network and		managing security measures. LMS administrati on. Setting up and configuring the LMS to support course delivery, communica tion, assessmen t, and integrating LMS with other systems. Technical support. Providing helpdesk services to support learners and staff and training users.	3	speed internet connectivit y and efficient use of communic ation tools such as video conferenci ng tools, messaging tools, Satisfactor y technical support that is timely. Secured academic and operationa I data with ease of recovery when need be. New innovation s that					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		Connectivit y. Sufficient internet bandwidth to support academic and operational activities in the university and adequate network security to protect the network and data from cyber threats. 3. Learning Manageme nt System (LMS) Platform. A robust and userfiriendly LMS that facilitate	5. Data Manageme nt. Managing data storage solution, performing regular data backups to prevent data loss, collecting and analysing data for decision making and improved services. 6. Cybersecur ity. Conducting regular security audits to identify and address vulnerabiliti	support academic and operationa I activities in the university. Available assistive technologi es and evidence of usage. Evidence of complianc e with regulatory standards.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		course delivery, communica tion, assessmen t, and allows integration with other systems such as library database and Student Information Systems (SIS). 4. Technical Support. Access to helpdesk services to support learners and staff to resolve technical issues promptly and	es, developing and implementi ng incident response plans to handle security breaches and cyber threats. 7. Communic ation and collaboratio n tools. Managing video conferenci ng tools, administeri ng platforms for communica tion and collaboratio ns such as emails, instant messaging,						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		provide training programme s to users. 5. Data Manageme nt. Secure and scalable data storage solutions to store and manage academic and operational contents such as student and staff records. Also, with regular data backup procedures to prevent loss of data and for easy	and team collaboratio n tools. 8. Accessibilit y and Inclusivity. Implementi ng assistive technologie s to support learners and staff with disabilities and ensuring of all digital contents to the needs of the users. 9. Innovation and continuous improveme nt. Providing enabling environme						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		recovery of data in case of emergenci es. 6. Cybersecur ity. Have developed security policies and utilisation of security tools such as firewalls, antivirus software, and encryption to safeguard data. 7. Communic ation tools. Reliable tools for video conferenci ng, messaging	nt of the integration of new technologie s such as AI and inhouse developed platforms that support academic and operational activities. 10. Complianc e and standards. Ensuring adherence to relevant regulations and standards.						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		and collaboratio n such as email, instant messaging, collaboratio n tools that enable interaction among learners, faculty and staff. 8. Accessibilit y. Ensuring access to all digital contents and availability of assistive technologie s to support learners with disabilities. 9. Innovation and							

Criteria or KPI	OI	ojectives	In	puts	Ac	tivities	Out	puts	Re	equired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			10	continuous improveme nt. The use of emerging technologie s such as Al and inhouse developed software and platform to enhance academic and operational activities. Complianc e with standards.										
Managem ent: Marketing and Public Relations	1	Promoting the university and its programme s through marketing and	2	Policy guiding Student recruitment campaigns, and advertising Developed university	2	Developing institutional policy guiding marketing and public relations. Harnessing marketing	1	Brand awareness Increased visibility of NOUN in the public and strong brand	2	Feedback survey reports from learners, faculty, and stakeholders. Student enrolment by programmes,	Director, Advance ment and Linkages			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	outreach efforts 2 Building and maintaining a positive reputation and brand image.	brand and image identity. 3 Developed procedure for building and managing relationship s with media outlets. 4 Established mode of internal and external communicat ions. 5 Public relations mechanism s. 6 Robust university website that promotes digital presence.	and public relations standards. 3 Carrying out student recruitment campaigns, advertising and promotions of NOUN services. 4 Maintaining the university's brand identity, building and managing relationship s with media outlets to promote positive coverage of the university. 5 Managing internal and	Increased student enrolment. 3 Positive reputation received through media coverage highlightin g NOUN achieveme nts, programm es and contributio n to the society. 4 Stakehold ers' engageme nt. Evidence of active	department, and faculty. 3 Evidence of positive reputation using metrics such as academic ranking, research output, employers' remarks on NOUN products, retention and graduation rates, internalisation (percentage of international student enrolment, and global partnership), the success of alumni such as notable achievements of alumni and level of				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Act	tivities	Out	tputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			6	external communica tions using media such as newsletters , announcem ents, magazines for internal communica tions and communica ting with external stakeholder s such as learners, parents, alumni and partners with letters, NOUN website, etc. Public relations. Writing and publishing press	5	media presence fostering interaction and engageme nt with current and prospectiv e learners, and building community partnershi ps with organisatio ns, industries, and educationa I institutions . Effective communic ation with clear, consistent, messaging using	engagement and support from alumni including donations and participations in events.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			release about university important events, achieveme nts, and news. 7 Handling public relations crises and managing the university's response to negative publicity. 8 Digital presence. Ensuring digital presence of activities that will promote the university's image on NOUN websites	channels that can reach all stakeholde rs. 6 Outcomes of crises managed. 7 Reports of feedback from learners, faculty, and stakeholde rs to assess the effectivene ss of marketing and public relations efforts.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Ac	ctivities	0	outputs	F	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Managem ent: Internal Quality Assurance	1. Establishing and maintaining quality standards for the university activities. 2. Conducting regular assessment s and evaluation to ensure continuous improvemen t.	1 SOPs 2 NQMS Platform 3 University policies 4 Relevant National policies 5 Monitoring Tool/Templ ate	3	QA Senate Committee meetings. Faculties, directorates, centres, and units committee meetings on quality assurance matters. DQA meetings with faculties, directorates, study centres, academic centres, and units representati ves. Checking compliance with the university Standard Operating	3	Minutes of meetings or extracts from Senate Committee QA meetings. Faculties, directorates, study centres, academic centres, and units extracts of meetings on quality assurance mechanisms. Minutes of meetings or extracts of meetings of DQA with representatives from faculties, directorates, academic	2	Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E). Reports Implementation of the M&E reports.	Director, QA			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			Procedures (SOPs) 5 Virtually monitoring staff academic and operational performance of activities in NQMS 6 Compleme t the virtual monitoring with physical visits to faculties, study centres, directorate academic centres and units to interview relevant staff, examine documents and assess	study centres, and units. 4 Compliance reports. 5 DQA reports on organised training workshops and seminars for staff and learners in the university.					

Criteria or KPI	Ob	ijectives	In	puts	Ac	tivities	Ou	tputs	Re	equired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
						structures that could not be uploaded for view on NQMS.								
Teaching and learning														
Curriculum and Course Design	2	develop relevant curriculum that meet the need of learners and current industry standards. Design and develop courses with clear	1 2 3 4	National benchmark and guidelines. Relevant institutional policies Industry standards Senate approval for the programme s. Current student enrolment number or proposed enrolment number.	2	Selecting required human resources. Studying and understanding the required national benchmark s, institutional policies, and industry standards. Designing and developing	2	The Outline Programm e Proposal and Detailed Programm e Proposal (OPP & DPP) showing the Table of Specificati on for the courses. Interactive course materials in print	3	The OPP & DPP. The developed course materials in each programme. The LMS showing the presentation of the course materials.	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		6 Programme objectives 7 Programme competency frameworks that outline the required knowledge, skills, and attitudes for successful performanc e. 8 Framework for instructional design and content creation 9 Framework for assessment and evaluation 10 Feedback mechanism s 11 Human resources – Subject Matter	the curricula. 4 Designing and developing the courses. 5 Deploying the courses in textual and digital formats.	and digital in LMS.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		Experts (SMEs), Instructional Designers (IDs), Instructional Technologie s, Industry personnel, learners. 12 Content creation tools.							
Quality of Instruction	1 Effectivene ss of content delivery methods using reading materials, instructional I videos, and interactive activities. 2 Effectivene ss of strategies used in engaging	 Institutional methods for content delivery. Institutional strategies for engaging learners. List of human resources by their qualification s and role played in the design and 	1 Identifying the best delivery method based on the learning outcomes. 2 Developing and implementing the content delivery methods. 3 Identifying, developing, and implementi	1 The reading materials, instruction al videos, and the interactive activities meet the learning outcomes. 2 The strategies (discussio n forum, group work, etc) used are	1 Course materials and instructional videos. 2 List of personnel in the team that developed the course materials by their qualifications and the role played in the development.	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	learners such as group work, discussion forum, and interactive tools. 3 Expertise of the human resources used in design and developing the learning contents.	developmen t of the curriculum or course.	ng strategies to engage learners to meet the learning outcomes.	engaging and promote learning. 3 Each personnel contribute d maximally in their areas of expertise.					
Learner Support	1 Providing guidance to learners through facilitation of courses. 2 Guiding learners on course selection. 3 Mentoring learners to support	 Instructional policy on learner support services Mentorship framework. 	 Guiding learners on the courses to register. Guiding learners to work through their course materials, Providing mentorship to learners 	1 Survey report on learners' satisfactio n on the learning support provided by faculty. 2 Increased participatio n rate of	 Survey report on learners' satisfaction on the learning support provided by faculty. Data on learners' academic performance. 	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	their learning and developme nt. 4 Providing technical support to learners during facilitation and taking assessmen t online.		by assisting them understand course content, assignment s, expectation s, advise on study strategies, assisting them plan their course schedule, providing research mentorship, professiona I mentorship, personal developme nt mentorship, serving as counselling referrals for adequate support	learners in facilitation. Increased passed rates in courses. Increased enrolment rates. Increased graduation rates.	3 Learners' progression rates. 4 Staff to student ratio.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			when need be. 4 Guiding learners on technical requiremen ts while working on a course or during facilitation or when taking assignment or making presentatio ns. For instance, required software.						
Assessme nt and feedback	1 Using diverse assessmen t methods such as quizzes, assignment s, and projects to test learners'	 Relevant polices guiding assessment in NOUN. List of different assessment methods approved by 	3 Standardisi ng and	 Developed test items by courses, programmes , and faculty. Feedback provided to learners. Questionnai re used in collecting 	1 Samples of validated or moderated test items by courses, programmes, and faculty with the moderators' reports.	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	mastery of contents. 2 Providing timely and constructive feedback on assessments that will help the learners improve on their learning. 3 Aligning assessment with learning outcomes.	the university. 3 Course materials.	4 Marking and providing constructive feedback on TMAs and other assessme nts	feedback from learners to ascertain their satisfaction of assessment and feedback.	 Samples of standardised test items. Samples of feedback provided to learners. Survey feedback report from students on their satisfaction on assessment and feedback 				
Technolog y integration	1 Effectivene ss of using LMS in supporting course delivery, communica tion and assessmen t of learning.	 LMS Multimedia studio Technologis ts Learning outcomes Content creation and integration software 	1 Taking training on how to navigate the LMS and upload and manage content in the LMS. 2 Identifying suitable	1 Developed multimedia resources in line with learning outcomes. 2 Course materials with the integrated multimedia resources	1 The LMS showing the integration of the multimedia resources into learning contents. 2 Learners' access to LMS and its contents.	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Obj	jectives	In	puts	Ac	tivities	Ou	tputs	Re	quired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	3	Effectivene ss of using multimedia resources such as instructiona I videos, podcast, and simulations in enhancing learning. Accessibilit y of technologic al tools and resources to all learners irrespective of their abilities, status or location.	6	Training workshop	3	technology that fits into the stated learning outcomes. Designing and developing multimedia resources that meet the learning outcomes. Integrating multimedia technologie s into course contents.		in the LMS.	3	Survey feedback report on students' satisfaction on the integration of technology into learning contents.				
Learner Engageme nt and Retention	1	Effective and meaningful interaction between learners to	2.	Learning resources Facilitation support LMS	1.	Creating topic-based discussion forums where learners	1.	Improved learners' satisfactio n.	1. 2. 3.	materials	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	learners, learners to facilitators, and learners with learning contents. 2 Building a sense of community among learners through virtual events and activities. 3 Increasing students' retention and reduce dropout rates.	 4. Technical support 5. Collaborativ e tools 6. Assistive tools 	can share insights, ask questions, and engage in meaningful dialogue. 2. Creating moderated forum discussions where a facilitator or teaching assistant guide discussions, encourage learners and ensure respectful interactions. 3. Organising group projects that require learners to work together,	 Increased completion rates. Reduced attrition rates, Reduced dropout rates. Increased student enrolment 					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			collaborate on tasks, and produce a collective output. 4. Developing discussion topics that can be discussed by learners during virtual facilitation in groups using zoom breakout sessions and make a collective presentatio n through the group head or rapporteur 5. Hosting live webinars with guest facilitators or industry						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			experts to provide learners with realworld insights. 6. Organising question and answer sessions where learners can interact with facilitators, ask questions, and receive immediate feedback. 7. Using case studies, scenarios, simulations, story telling, and role play exercises to encourage learners to						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			apply theoretical knowledge to practical scenarios. 8. Creating and implementi ng peer review activities where learners will evaluate and provide constructiv e feedback on each other's work. 9. Encouragin g learners to create special social media group for connection, sharing of resources, and						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			discussing course related topics. 10. Establishin g online communitie s or forums where learners can network, share experience and support one another. 11. Integrating gamified elements such as Self-Assessmen t Exercises (SMEs), challenges or competition s to motivate learners,						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			and offering rewards such as digital badges, and certificates for learning achieved. 12. Integrating feedback and reflective activities by encouragin g learners to maintain reflective journals where they document their learning experience s, challenges, and insights. 13. Providing opportunitie s for						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			learners to provide feedback on course activities and interactions 14. Mapping out strategies to improve enrolment in existing and new programme s.						
Quality Assurance on Learning	1. Regular review and improveme nt of learning resources based on stakeholde rs' feedback and performanc e of learners.	 Programme and course objectives. Programme required competenci es Learning outcomes. Programme OPP & DPP Learning resources 	1. Ensuring compliance to the university recognised standards 2. Carrying out minor review of course materials before the beginning of new semester	Increased enrolment Increased students' satisfactio n Increased graduate rates Increased outcomes of graduates Increased impacts of	Accreditation or resource verification reports. Evidence of improvement based on accreditation and feedback survey reports, Graduate outcomes and impacts.	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	2. Programm e meeting national, internation al, and profession al accreditatio ns.	6. Learning infrastructur es 7. Course delivery mechanism s	where there is check for dead links, setting up the course page on the LMS. 3. Carrying out major review of learning resources. 4. Presenting programme for accreditatio n. 5. Carrying out tracer study of graduates. 6. Employers' assessmen t reports of graduates.	graduates on the society.	4. Employers' assessment reports of graduates.	data	Level	Сирэ	
Availability of Resources	Ensuring availability of resources for teaching and learning.	Digital and physical libraries. Course materials	Subscribing to digital learning resources.	Improved students' satisfactio n.	Users survey feedback report on availability of resources.	Heads of Departme nts (HoDs)			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		3. Support services	2. Giving access to users to digital library with inclusivity. 3. Producing course materials for all courses 4. Ensuring the upload of all course materials into the LMS 5. Providing support services.	2. Increased enrolment.				·	
Collaborat ion, partnershi ps, and Linkages	1. Enhancing the quality of teaching and learning through resource sharing and collaboratio	1. Related policies guiding collaboratio n, partnerships , and linkages in NOUN.	Meetings, negotiating, defining partnership relationship s and signing the MoUs. Establishin g clear and	1 Enhanced service delivery in NOUN with listing of major enhanceme nt gained through partnerships	Report of activities with each partner Evidence on international collaborations	Director, Advance ment and Linkages.			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	n in developing curriculum. 2. Expanding opportuniti es by gaining access to specialised knowledge and skills through partnership s with educational institutions, industry profession als and Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) 3. Developing educational programme s to reach wider audience through	2. List of partners by name, purpose, start date, and duration. 3. Signed Memorandu m of Understanding (MoUs) and agreements for each partner	open communica tion channels. 3. Defining Key Performanc e Indicators (KPIs) for monitoring and evaluating the success of partnership. 4. Performing the activities as stated in the MoU.	and collaboratio ns. Improved NOUN contribution s to the society through partnership and collaboratio ns with listing of the major contribution s.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	partnership s. 4. Building strong networks with local communitie s to understand and address their educational needs. 5. Enhancing learners learning experience through internships, work placements , and industry collaboratio ns. 6. Facilitating students and faculty exchange programme								

Criteria or KPI	Ob	jectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		s to promote								
		cultural								
		exchange								
		and global								
		perspective s								
	7.	Partnering								
		with								
		technology								
		providers to integrate								
		cutting-								
		edge tools								
		and								
		platforms that								
		enhance								
		learning.								
	8.	Collaborati								
		ng on innovative								
		teaching								
		methods								
		and								
		pedagogic al								
		approache								
		s to								
		improve								

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	learners learning 9. Support educational initiatives by securing funding and grants through partnership s with governmen t agencies, non-profit, and private sector organisatio ns. 10. Partnering with educational institutions, agencies, and industry to promote quality education in open and distance								

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	learning,	-		•					
	and online								
	learning.								
	11. Enhancing profession								
	al								
	developme								
	nt by								
	providing								
	opportuniti								
	es for								
	faculty and								
	staff to								
	collaborate with other								
	institutions								
	and								
	organisatio								
	ns in								
	research								
	and								
	developing								
	creative								
	and								
	innovative ideas.								
	12. Creating								
	networking								
	opportuniti								
	es for								
	faculty,								

Criteria or KPI	Objectives staff, and	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	stall, and students to connect with industry profession als and peers.								
Research, innovatio n and technolog y									
Research	1. Advancing knowledge by uncovering new facts, theories, insights in various fields of study, and deepening understand ing of existing phenomen a, concepts,	 Relevant policies guiding research in NOUN. Sources of getting funds and grants to sponsor research. Research facilities such as laboratories, and equipment. 	Accepting, screening, and approving research proposals Literature review. A comprehen sive review of literature to identify gaps in knowledge and inform research questions.	Published research in high impact journals. The outcome and impact of research in NOUN service delivery and the community .	1. List of sponsored research by author, research title, identified gap, outcome of the findings, impact, name of sponsor, start date and end date (duration) 2. Reports on research fairs held with pictures and	Director, Research and Administr ation			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	and practices. 2. Identifying real-world problems and challenges and providing solutions through applied research. 3. Influencing decisions and interventio ns through evidence-based solutions. 4. Impacting community developme nt through research outputs 5. Improving practices in ODL	 4. Qualified researchers with expertise in relevant fields. 5. Access to data. 6. Defined collaborative networks either with individuals, institutions, research organisation s, and industry partners. 	3. Data collection. Data gathering through surveys, interviews, experiment s, observation s, and other methods. 4. Data analysis. Analysing the data gathered using statistical tools and qualitative methods to derive meaningful insights. 5. Publication. Writing and publishing research findings in	3. Organised research fairs.	list of participants with institutional affiliation and ideas showcased. 3. Citations of published research from the university. 4. Institutional research reports for both students and staff measuring the quantity, quality, and efficiency with the following metrics: Quantity Number of published papers in reputable journals.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	environme nts.		reputable journals. 6. Deploymen t of research findings beyond publication.		Quality – publication count by number of published research in peer-reviewed journals, journal impact factor i.e. the quality of the journal in which the research was published. Citation counts stating the number of times a research er's work was cited				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					by other research ers indicating the influence and relevance of the research work, evidence of usage of the research outcomes , H-Index to measure both the productivi ty and citation impact, research grants and funding received, conferenc e paper				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					presente d which shows engagem ent with research communit y, involvem ent in research collaborat ion and partnersh ip, research awards and recognitio n received, patent and intellectu al property generate d from research, peer reviews				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					and external evaluator s report on the quality and impact of the research. • Efficiency research output per faculty member showing the number of publicatio ns, projects, research activities complete d end of each semester; funding				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					utilisation showing adequate report on its use; time of publicatio n showing the average time taken form beginning of research project to publicatio n; grant success rate showing the percenta ge of grant applicatio ns that are successf				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					ul; cost per research project to show the cost efficiency, research staff utilisation showing the extent research staff are engaged in productiv e activities, collaborat ion efficiency showing the number of successf ul collaborat ions and the				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
					impact of the partnersh ips; patent filing efficiency showing the ratio of patents filed to research projects undertak en.				
Innovation	1. Fostering creativity and experiment ation in developing new techniques to solve identified problem or challenge. 2. Promoting entreprene urial	 Relevant policies guiding innovation in NOUN. Instituting a culture of creativity and innovation within the university. Established robust innovation 	Providing enabling environmen t and support for the learners for: Idea generatio n by encouragi ng creative thinking and	Creative and innovative products and services	Creative and innovative products and services. List of awards received by the innovators (staff and students) based on their innovative ideas. Evidential impact of the creative and	DVC, TIR			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	initiatives and innovation projects that can lead to new products, services, and start- ups.	hubs or incubation centres to support the developmen t of new ideas and projects. 4 Policy driving interdisciplin ary collaboratio n. 5 Framework for mentorship and guidance in NOUN.	brainstor ming sessions. Prototypin g and developin g models to test and refine generated ideas. Piloting the idea generated to assess the feasibility and impact of the innovative solutions. Participati on in an organised innovative competitions and hackathon s within		innovative ideas on the university and society.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			and outside NOUN to foster innovation and identify creative solutions. 2. Providing entreprene urship support and resources for developing entreprene urial initiatives, including incubation programme s and mentorship.						
Technolog y	1. Integrating advanced technology such as artificial intelligence , virtual	 Technologic al infrastructur e. Digital tools and platforms. 	Integrating advanced technology to address identified challenges.	Developed software Developed platforms.	1. List of developed platforms by name, purpose, outcomes, and date	DVC, TIR			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	reality, platforms etc to address challenges in open and distance learning. 2. Developing software and platforms to address challenges in service delivery in ODL. 3. Using technology to address societal challenges.	3. Technical support 4. Cybersecuri ty measures.	2. Developing and maintaining platforms and software that can address ODL and social challenges		developed with link to view the platform. 2. List of software and their uses with outcomes of their uses. Like to view the usage or report on the usage.				
Study Centres									
Public Enquiries	1 Disseminati ng accurate and up-to- date information about the	The university website. Database on programme	1 Disseminati ng information 2 Supporting and addressing	Link to university website. Maximum time taken to address	The report on public enquiries reflecting the objectives.	Study Centre Directors and NICC			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	university's programme s, courses, admission processes, fees, and other relevant information to learners and general public. 2 Supporting and guiding learners on academic matters such as registrable courses, registration, programme duration, procedures, facilitation schedules and examination s. 3 Addressing queries and	s, courses, admission requiremen ts, fee, schedules, and institutional policies guiding the various activities. 3 Orientation materials. 4 Automated response systems. 5 Engageme nt platforms such as social media, online forums, discussion boards.	learners enquires and challenges.	stakeholde rs' queries and concerns. Report on public enquiries indicating the gaps, challenges, and impact on enrolment and completion rates.					

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	concerns of learners, parents/gua rdians, the public. 4 Promoting accessibility by ensuring there is inclusivenes s in the disseminati on of information and service support using different channels of communicat ion. 5 Providing honest and transparent information to the learners and public to build trust.								

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	6 Collecting feedback								
	from learners								
	and other								
	stakeholder								
	s on the								
	various								
	services of								
	the								
	university to identify the								
	areas for								
	improveme								
	nt.								
	7 Promoting								
	the								
	university's programme								
	s and								
	services to								
	attract								
	prospective								
	learners								
	and increase								
	enrolment								
	through								
	effective								
	communicat								
	ion and								

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	outreach								
Student Enrolment and Registrati on	efforts. 1 Ensuring that the enrolment process is accessible and inclusive of all who demand to learning in NOUN irrespective abilities, location, and background. 2 Ensuring accurate and comprehen sive learners' information such as personal data, academic history, and	1 Student's handbook. 2 The university calendar 3 The university registration procedures including the digital version.	Supporting learners during programme and course enrolment.	1 List of enrolled learners by faculty, programme and year. 2 Evidence of support provided.	1 Learners' enrolment by programme and year at the study centre. 2 International to domestic student ratio.	Study Centre Directors			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	course preference, to support administrati ve and academic planning. Implementi ng seamless efficient and user- friendly enrolment registration procedures that minimise barriers to learning in NOUN. Ensuring compliance and documentat ion of registration activities with the university regulations.								

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	5 Monitoring and managing student enrolment to measure the level or growth and balance the demand with required facilities for teaching and learning. 6 Using technology to facilitate enrolment and registration for efficiency and to the convenien of learners 7 Engaging with prospective and newly	e f dd e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e							

Criteria or KPI	Ok	ojectives	Inp	outs	Ac	tivities	Oı	utputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	8	enrolled learners through orientation programme s and communica tion to build a sense of belonging in NOUN. Providing a positive and supportive enrolment experience that would encourage learners' retention and success.											
Academic Advising	1	Providing advising that would help learners create effective academic plans that	1	Learners' information which includes personal details, academic history, course	1	Individual sessions with learners i.e. one-on-0ne meeting to discuss their academic	3	retention rates.	Evidence to the academic advising provided.	Study Centre Directors			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	aligns with the institutional goals, career aspirations, and personal circumstanc es. 2 Guiding learners in selecting appropriate courses that meet the degree requirement s and their individual learning needs. 3 Regularly monitoring and review of learners' academic progress, identificatio n of potential	enrolment, and progress report. 2 Academic resources such as OPP and DPP, and academic calendar. 3 Advising software and tools that are used for tracking student progress, schedule appointmen ts, and managing advising sessions. 4 Collaborati on mechanism s with faculty members	goals, progress, and challenges they may be facing. Guiding learners in developing personal academic plan. Guiding learners on course registration. Regularly reviewing learners' academic performanc e, identifying gaps and providing timely intervention s.						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	challenges and timely intervention . 4 Providing referral guidance to connect learners with relevant resources and services such as facilitation, technical support, library resources etc. 5 Encouragin g and motivating learners to stay focus and committed to their studies.	for regular updates. 5 Relevant university policies for updates							

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Examinati	6 Providing career, social, and psychologic al counselling to learners to support their completion rates.	1 Examinatio	1 Setting up	1 Examinatio	Poport on	Study			
examinati on Administr ation	1 Ensuring compliance with the university regulations in ensuring that the examinatio ns are fair, valid, and reliable measures of student learning. 2 Ensuring robust security measures to prevent cheating,	n timetable Examination n timetable Examination n materials Examination n guidelines and policies Examination n venues which is adequately equipped and accessible examination n halls with appropriate seating	1 Setting up examinatio n venues. 2 Verifying learners identify in ensuring only registered learners are taking the exams. 3 Invigilation which involves monitoring the learners during the examinatio n to present	1 Examination timetables 2 Student attendance records 3 Examination booklets used by learners in the exams. 4 Incident reports which is the documentation of incidents or irregularities that occurred	Report on examination administration.	Centre Directors			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Ac	tivities	Ou	ıtputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	plagiarisms, and other forms of academic dishonesty during examinatio ns.	arrangeme nts, lighting, and ventilation. 5 Student identificatio n and verification to prevent impersonati on. 6 Robust security protocols to prevent unauthorise d access to examinatio n halls and materials. 7 Planned logistics for the distribution and collection of examinatio n materials, transportati	5	cheating and maintaining a fair environmen t. Providing technical support for both inperson and online exams. Distributing question papers and answer sheets at the beginning of exams to learners and collecting the completed answer sheets and other materials at	5	during the exam process. Feedback from learners and invigilators on the examinations. Complianc e and audit reports showing the documentat ion of compliance with examination policies, procedures , and regulations. Minutes of meetings or extracts of minutes on postexaminatio					

Criteria or KPI	Ob	jectives	Inp	outs	Act	ivities	Ou	utputs	Red	quired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
			8	on, and storage. Emergency preparedne ss during examinatio ns such as rude behaviour, power outages or health issues.	7	the end of exams. Carrying out post-exam processing which includes transporting the used answer sheets to the assigned designation , returning of servers, and other materials. Preparing and submitting reports on examinatio n issues.		n review meetings.						
Coordinati on of authentic assessme nts - Learners	1	Providing administrati ve support in handling the logistics aspects of	1	Clearly defined learning outcomes that the assessmen	1	Studying and understandi ng the assessmen t criteria	2	Student performanc e results. Feedback reports highlighting	1	Student demographics – backgrounds, age, gender, cultural	Study Centre Directors			

Criteria or KPI	Ob	ojectives	Inp	outs	Ac	tivities	Ou	ıtputs	Re	quired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Research, seminars,		authentic assessment		ts aim to measure.		and rubrics received		strengths, areas for		background, prior				
teaching		s such as	2	The		from		improveme		education to				
practice,		scheduling,		assessmen		faculty.		nt, and		evaluate the				
practical		distributing		t design	2	Scheduling		suggestion		assessment				
etc.		necessary		and rubrics		and		s for further		of their needs				
		materials, collecting		that outline the criteria		logistics to ensure		developme nt.	2	and contexts. The stated				
		completed		for		fitness to	3	Performanc	_	learning				
		works and		evaluation		the		e data		outcomes.				
		submitting		in ensuring		university		analysis.	3	Assessment				
		to the		consistency	_	calendar.	4	Alignment		criteria and				
		respective		and	3	Monitoring		with	4	rubrics.				
		department s and		fairness in grading.		and supervising		learning outcomes.	4	Course materials and				
		directorates	3	Access to		the	5	Skill		resources to				
			~	appropriate		assessmen		developme		evaluate the				
	2	Ensuring		technology		t		nt evidence		access the				
		inclusivity		and tools		processes.		such as		learners has				
		of all		required for	4	Ensuing		professiona		to the				
		learners		the		quality		l skills,		materials and				
		during assessment		assessmen ts such as		assurance and		creative thinking,		resources in completing				
		S.		software.		evaluation		problem-		the				
	3	Providing		Multimedia		in ensuring		solving		assessments.				
		guidance to		resources		valid,		skills,	5	Learners'				
		the learners		etc.		reliable,		communica		performance				
		on how	4	The		that will		tion, and		data such as				
		they can		manual or		meet the		teamwork.		grades,				
		receive feedback		instructiona I material		stated				attendance,				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Ac	tivities	Oı	utputs	Re	quired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	on the assessment s.	guiding the learners on requiremen ts and expectation s of the assessmen ts. 5 Defined feedback mechanism 6 Accessibilit y and inclusivity of learners during the assessmen ts. 7 Defined stakeholder s' involvemen t such as industry partners and professiona I bodies.		learning outcomes.				participation, feedback.				
Access to Learning	Ensuring learners have access to	Learning Manageme nt System	1	Developing and curating	1	Increase in student engageme	1	Learners' demography – age,	Study Centre Directors			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Ac	tivities	Οι	ıtputs	Re	quired Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Resource	facilitation room, free internet, library, laboratories and other necessary resources.	(LMS). To evaluate its robustness, friendliness, and as a hub for accessing course materials, assignment s, discussion forums and others. 2 Digital library and other online databases. 3 Internet connectivity with reliable and high-speed to ensure easy download of materials, stream lectures, and	3 4	high-quality digital contents and other resources. Managing and updating the learning manageme nt system (LMS). Providing technical supports to resolve technical issues and ease learning. Conducting orientations to familiarise learners with the learning resources. Distributing resources.	3 4 5	nt with course materials and LMS. Improved academic performanc e as learners have easy access to relevant learning resources and support. Seamless access. Enhanced learning experience Increased learners' satisfaction s.	2 3 5 6	gender, location, educational background, disability etc. Resource usage data showing the frequency of use. Access pattern such as peak times for resource usage and preferred types of resources. Records of technical issues and how they were resolved. Availability of up-to-date resources. Feedback from learners on the quality, relevance,				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		participate in online discussions . 4 Access credentials with secured login credentials for learners to access the required platforms. 5 Multimedia contents such as instructiona I videos, podcasts, interactive simulations, and recorded webinars and facilitations. 6 Technical support that helps	6 Working with faculties to provide adequate guidance to learners. 7 Data manageme nt and reporting. Keeping accurate record of resource usages, access patterns, and user feedback which are required for data analysis in identifying trend and areas for improveme nt.		and accessibility of learning resources collected through surveys, focus groups, or feedback forms. 7 Learners' academic performance data showing how it correlates with access to and the use of learning resources 8 Device and connectivity information with details on the type of devices learners are using in accessing learning resources and				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		learners navigate online platforms, troubleshoo t issues, and maximise the use of digital resources. 7 Device accessibilit y such as computers, tablets, smartphon es, that enable learners to interact with digital learning materials and resources. 8 Assistive technologie s such as screen readers,			the quality of internet. 9 Accessibility needs including the use of assistive technologies. 10 Institutional policies and practices relating to the provision and access of learning resources. 11 Data on metrics on student engagement with learning resources such as participation in online discussions, completion rates of assigned readings, and interactions				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		speech recognition software, and other tools to support inclusive learning especially with disabilities. 9 Course materials that are comprehen sive and up-to-date in text and digital format in the LMS. 10 Academic support services with access to mentorship, academic advising, and guide			with multimedia content.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		to learning resources. 11 Feedback and evaluation mechanism s – stating the system for collecting feedback from learners on the quality and accessibilit y of learning resources.							
Technical Support	Providing IT helpdesk support on general technical assistance and troubleshooting for hardware and software issues through various channels such	1 Dedicated IT helpdesk. 2 Technical infrastructur e which includes high-speed internet, servers, and networks.	1 Identifying and diagnosing technical issues faced by users. 2 Assisting users navigate the platform such as	1. Resolved technical issues faced by learners, faculty, and staff. 2. Improved user satisfaction in terms of timely and	Survey and feedback showing user satisfaction. Issue resolution time showing average time taken to resolve technical issues and	Study Centre Directors and DICT			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	as phone, email, chat, and in-person.	3 Technical documentat ion and guide that provides comprehen sive technical documentat ion such as user guides and FAQs. 4 Technical support staff who can quickly respond and resolve technical issues. 5 Access to software and tools for learning. 6 Regular trainings and workshops for learners and staff on	portal, and student portals. 3 Respondin g to technical	effective technical assistance, resulting in positive learning experience. 3. Comprehen sive documentat ion of the support given. 4. Record of technical training provided for users. 5. Feedback report and improveme nt plan. 6. Data security provided and its compliance with relevant policies	metrics on response times and resolution times 3. Volume of support request. Record of number and types of technical support requests received and attended to. 4. Availability of technical support such as the hours of operations and channels of communication n (phones, emails, chat etc.) 5. User demography. 6. Report on accessibility				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		how to effectively use the technologic al tools and resources for teaching and learning. 7 Remote support capabilities for learners and staff regardless their locations. 8 Feedback mechanism where learners and staff can share their experience. 9 Mechanism s for regular monitoring and maintenanc	laptops, tables, smartphone s, etc. 7 Providing guidance to users on cybersecuri ty. 8 Providing remote technical support to users, 9 Conducting trainings and workshops on how to use technology tools and platform effectively. 10 Monitoring and maintaining technical systems and infrastructur	and regulations. 7. Record of maintenanc e in ensuring all software and platforms are up to date.	and inclusivity in providing technical support to all learners including those with disabilities. 7. Incident reports showing detailed reports on major technical incidents including the causes, impact, and resolution steps taken.				

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
		e of technical infrastructur e. 10 Backup and recovery systems to protect data. 11 Access to technical resources such as troubleshoo ting guides, video tutorials, and online forums. 12 Accessibilit y and inclusivity to all learners irrespective of their abilities, locations, and status.	e for effective performanc e. 11 Collecting feedback from users in improving technical services. 12 Documenti ng and reporting by keeping detailed records of technical support interactions and resolutions.						

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
Communit y building	1. Organising educational workshops and seminars such as digital literacy, career developme nt, etc, to support the community. 2. Supporting the communitie s by conducting health and wellness programme s 3. Organising outreach and support programme s such as counselling, legal advice clinics, entreprene	 Institutional policies relating to community building. Allocation of resources for community projects. The engageme nt activities. The support services. The communica tion channels. 	 Supporting community developme nt through educational workshops and seminars. Providing health and wellness services programme s. Organising outreach and support programme s in areas of needs within what NOUN can provide. 	1. List of organised programme s. 2. Feedback from the participants in the organised programme s using survey or focused group interviews.	Report of contribution to community development.	Study Centre Directors			

Criteria or KPI	Objectives	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Required Data	Person responsi ble to provide sources for the required data	Perform ance Level	Identif ied Gaps	Recomme nded Improvem ent
	urship								
	literacy that would help								
	community								
	members								
	navigate								
	through								
	various								
	challenges.								

In addition to the monitoring tool in Table 1.2, there is a second monitoring tool for staff performance as shown in Table 1.3. NOUN has automated platform called NOUN Quality Management System (NQMS) which is the tool for monitoring staff performance. Staff performances are measured against the assigned tasks in the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). Performance data are collected from NQMS and are analysed by the M&E officers. The results are evaluated to guide decisions on improvement strategies. The features of NQMS are:

- Interactive dashboard
- Real-time monitoring
- Data analysis
- Reporting and feedback
- Continuous adaptation in ensuring tasks and activities remain responsive
- Stakeholders' engagement for inclusivity

Table 1.3 KPI for Monitoring Staff Performance in NQMS

S/N	KPI	N.4	etrics	Findings based on collected and analysed data	Challenges and Gaps affecting achievement of outputs
		-		uala	
1	Staff	1	Number of assigned		
	Workload		tasks/duties including ad hoc		
			duties.		
		2	Tasks/duties completion time		
			(average time taken)		
		3	Number of task/duties		
			completed within a semester		
		4	Work in progress i.e. number		
			of ongoing tasks/duties at the		
			end of a semester.		
		5	Adherence to		
			deadline/timelines		
		6	Break and annual leave usage		
2	SOP	1	Percentage of adherence to		
	Compliance		SOP (score) per task/duty		
	Score		assigned		
		2	Average score of all assigned		
			tasks/duties		
		3	Task/duty with the highest		
			score		

S/N	KPI	Metrics	Findings based on collected and analysed data	Challenges and Gaps affecting achievement of outputs
3,11		4 Task/duty with the lowest	- GGIG	
3	Quality of Task/Duty performed	1 Competency (skills, knowledge, and abilities possessed by the staff): • Certifications and qualifications relating to given tasks. • Skills assessment through job outputs and feedback from students and users. Such skills as job professional skills, interpersonal communication skills, problem solving skills, mentorship skills, creative and innovative skills, public speaking skills, leadership skills, adaptability skills (ability to handle changes in the university). • Training participation in enhancing job performance determined by self or university sponsored trainings, relevance, and level of utilisation of the knowledge gained. • Task proficiency by determining the success rate and quality of task completion using compliance with task SOP. • Innovation by determining the frequency and impact of new ideas or improvements staff put into assigned task(s). • Mentorship and knowledge sharing by ascertaining willingness		

S/N	KPI	Metrics and effectiveness in mentoring others and expertise sharing through responses received from colleagues and students with evidence.	Findings based on collected and analysed data	Challenges and Gaps affecting achievement of outputs
		 Effectiveness: Quality of outputs as measured against set tasks SOP, tasks objectives, and related university policies. Task accuracy measured by level of correctness and precision of the completed task using the compliance score. Problem solving skills by demonstrating ability to effectively address and resolved issues that arise when performing tasks. Teamwork and collaboration by working within a committee or consulting relevant units or persons or providing relevant information to support others when carrying out assigned tasks. Technical proficiency by demonstrating mastery of the technical skills required for the assigned tasks. Feedback from students and users of the tasks' outputs. Take up of new task by determining the willingness to take on new task. 		

			Findings based on collected and	Challenges and Gaps affecting achievement
S/N	KPI	Metrics	analysed data	of outputs
3/IN	KPI	 Percentage improvement based on immediate feedback received from QA Compliance Officers. Consistency in maintaining high performance Efficiency: Task completion time. Completion time by the average time taken to complete tasks reflecting efficiency and proficiency. Resource utilisation by assessing effective utilisation of resources such as time, materials, and budget in completing the task. Utilisation rate by determining the percentage of working hours spent on productive tasks. Overtime hours by determining the number of extra times outside the normal working hours put into the production of an outcome indicating potential workload issues and inefficiencies. Output per staff by determining the volume of work produced by the staff within a semester/biannual. Cost efficiency by determining the cost incurred per task or project per semester/quarter. 	uala	

S/N	KPI	Metrics	Findings based on collected and analysed data	Challenges and Gaps affecting achievement of outputs
		 Task backlog by determining the number of pending tasks or projects that cause bottlenecks or inefficiencies in the university outputs. Employee feedback regarding their workload and challenges. Performance to budget by comparing the actual performance against budgeted resources to measure efficiency. Staff turnover rates by determining withdrawal of services, retirements, deaths, transfers, and redeployment 		

Data gathered with NOUN monitoring tool, personal interviews, and Onsight visits will be used to compliment the KPI for Monitoring Staff Performance in NQMS.

1.4: Implementation of Monitoring Design

The following steps are taken during monitoring:

- Two weeks to the beginning of the bi-annual (twice a year) monitoring exercise which can also be referred to as internal audit, the DQA will send NOUN Monitoring Tool (NMT) to the identified persons responsible to provide the sources for the required data as stated in the tool where the M&E officers will access the data for assessments. This exercise is completed within 10 working days.
- 2. DQA receive responses on the sources for the identified data and verify the sources. Where there is need for further clarity, calls, emails, and personal

- contacts are used. This is to ensure that the correct sources are provided. The verification exercise is completed withing 5 working days.
- 3. M&E officers follow the process in NMT to collect data from the provided sources and collect staff performance data from NQMS using the KPI for Monitoring Staff Performance in NQMS. This is followed with personal visits to faculties, directorates, study centres, other centres, and units for the verification of specific data through document assessments, interviews, and observations.
- 4. M&E officers analyse the data collected using statistical tools with the combination of automated analysis in NQMS and manual computation with the use of digital tools such as Microsoft Excel, SPSS, Tableau for visualisation etc.
- 5. M&E officers present monitoring findings to the Director, QA for review and readiness for evaluation. To measure the university achievements, the findings will focus on the following KPI:
 - Institutional governance and management
 - Teaching and learning
 - Collaboration, partnerships, and Linkages
 - Research, innovation and technology
 - Study centres
- 6. Apart from the bi-annual monitoring exercises/internal audit, the M&E officers will continuously monitor the implementations of suggested Senate approved improvements derived from the university internal bi-annual monitoring and evaluation exercises, external audit reports, and accreditation reports. The implementation monitoring tool is as presented in Table 1.4.

Table 1.4 Monitoring Tools for the Implementation of Suggested Improvements Name of Department/Directorate/Centre/Unit:

				Performance Level			Feedback
S/N	Identified Gap(s)	Identified By:	Recommended remedy	Action taken as recommended	Action taken but not as recommended	No Action	from M&E to the concerned

1.5: Monitoring Findings and Usage

Once data have been collected and analysed, the findings are used for the followings:

- 1. Decision-Making: it provides data and insights that guides the strategic and operational decisions.
- 2. Performance Assessment: it is used to evaluate the competencies, effectiveness, and efficiency of tasks, SOPs, and policies in line with the university objectives and core values.
- 3. Accountability: It provides verifiable information to stakeholders about the progress of the university.
- 4. Resource Allocation: It helps the university in effective allocation and utilisation of resources based on identified needs and performance.
- 5. Timely intervention: Early detection of gaps and challenges will help the university in taking early actions in curbing issues that would have affected the university negatively in academic and operational activities.
- 6. Improvement of learning: Findings on teaching and learning are used to improve learning in the areas of programme requirements, programme design and development, course design and development, and course delivery.
- 7. Compliance: The findings are used to verify adherence to the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), university vision, mission, objectives and core values.
- 8. Impact measurement: Helps in assessing the long-term impact and sustainability of academic and operational initiatives in the university.
- 9. Reporting: Supports the preparation of comprehensive and transparent evaluation for the internal quality assurance in the university.

These guide the suggestions provided by DQA to the university when reporting to the university management.

2.0: Internal Evaluation at NOUN

2.1: Definition, Purpose, and Guiding Principles

Evaluation is a systematic assessment of a completed task or activities to determine its outcomes and impacts. This is different from monitoring. In the monitoring exercise, data are collected and analysed and used to track the progress of activities or tasks. Monitoring focuses on inputs, activities and outputs through which the different segments of the university are assessed while evaluation is focused on assessing the wholistic performance of the university with focus on eight (8) basic criteria as listed below:

- 1. Productivity
- 2. Efficiency
- 3. Effectiveness
- 4. Engagement
- 5. Finance
- 6. Learning and development
- 7. Innovation
- 8. Student

In the university, the overall outputs are the **number and quality of graduates produced**. So, the overall inputs and activities are assessed against the number of graduates produced and their quality.

The purpose of evaluation is to assess the findings from the internal monitoring and determine the outcomes and impact of the outputs to derive the lessons learned for future improvement. So, it could be said that while monitoring help to determine the level of success, evaluation is wholistic to determine the level of outcomes and impact of the success. The focus of evaluation is on determining the worth of the inputs, activities, and outputs on the outcomes and impacts made.

2.2: Evaluation Preparation and Design

In the preparation for evaluation, the findings of the monitoring are obtained. First, is that the evaluator must evaluate the findings from the monitoring activities to understand the effectiveness and impact of interventions. The key metrics for evaluating monitoring findings are:

- 1. Relevance to Objectives: Measure how well the findings align with the set task objectives using the stated objectives in NOUN Monitoring Tool, the university objectives, vision, and mission, and related policies.
- Relevance to Standards: Measure how well the findings meet the set standards.
 This includes NUC standards and the university policies
- Data Accuracy: The data are evaluated to determine the correctness and reliability
 of the data collected during monitoring. The correctness helps to ensure that the
 information is free from errors and accurately represents the facts.
- 4. Timeliness: Evaluate the promptness in the collection of the data that were reported.
- 5. Completeness: Ensures that all the necessary data were captured and reported.
- 6. Feedback from Stakeholders: Obtained feedback from stakeholders on the relevance and usefulness of the monitoring findings.
- 7. Actionability: Determine the ease of translating the findings into actionable recommendations.
- 8. The impact on Decision-Making: evaluate the extent to which the monitoring findings will influence decision making.
- 9. Quality of the Analysis: evaluate the thoroughness and robustness of data analysis.
- 10. Utilisation Rate: measure the rate at which the past monitoring findings were effectively utilised by stakeholders to inform actions and decisions.
- 11. Clarity and Presentation: Assess the clarity, coherence, and professionalism used in presenting the findings.

The M&E/QA officers plan how each of the metrics would be evaluated using the guide provided in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Guide used in Evaluating the Findings from Monitoring Activities

S/N	Metrics	Action
1	Objectives	 Defined clear and measurable objectives. Map activities to objectives to show how each activity or task meet the overall objectives. NOUN monitoring tool provide adequate guide on this (see Table 1.2). Stakeholder feedback: Gather input on stakeholders' perceptions of the relevance of the activities to the objectives. Outcome analysis. Examine the outcomes and findings to see if they align with the set objectives. Comparison with standards: Compare the activities and outcomes with standards to assess how well they meet the objectives. Impact assessment: Evaluate the impact of activity or task or out output to determine if it aligns with the set objectives.
2	Relevance to Standards	 Identify relevant standards that apply to the activity or task or output. Compliance checks: Check the compliance of the activity or task or output to the standard(s). Gap analysis: From the monitoring findings, identify existing gaps between the current practices and the established standards, and areas for improvement. Stakeholders' awareness of standards. From the monitoring findings, assess if students and staff are aware of the relevant standards and usage. Continuous Improvement: Evaluating how best the monitoring findings can be used to improve processes and align them closely with standards.
3	Data Accuracy	 Verification: Cross-check data with multiple sources to ensure consistency and correctness. This could be through automated processes, interviews, document inspection, and observations. Validation rules: Apply the rule of validation to data entry process to validate the correctness through format checks, range checks, and completeness checks. Data Profiling: Analyse the data to understand its structure, content, and relationships. This helps to identify anomalies and discrepancies.

S/N	Metrics	Action
		 Error Detection Reports: Generate error reports to highlight identified errors or inconsistency in the data. These errors are reviewed and corrected before using the findings. Sampling and Testing: Take sample from the data used in generating the findings and manually inspect and run statistical testing to
		evaluate its correctness.6. Record of Data: Availability of detailed records of data entry and changes that allows for tracing and reviewing how data has been modified over time.
		7. Feedback Loops: use the feedback from the data users (students or staff) to check for correctness.
		8. Data Cleaning: Evaluate Microsoft Excel is used for the data cleaning to correct errors, remove duplications, and standardised the data formats.
		9. Benchmarking: Compare data against the university standard or benchmark to assess its accuracy and reliability.
4	Timeliness	 Set Clear Deadlines: Evaluate the deadlines for the completion of task for sufficiency in carrying out the task. Too long time could be a waste and too short could lead to inefficiency. Track Actual against the Planned Time: Compare the actual time taken to complete tasks against the planned time. Identify the cause of difference in time if it does exist. Completion Rate: Evaluate the percentage of tasks or activities completed within the specified time and the graduation rates of students. Feedback from Stakeholders: Use the stakeholders' feedback on timeliness and deliverables to measures their satisfaction and time adequacy. Response Time: Evaluate the time taken to respond to urgent task or issues. Time Management Practices: Evaluate the effectiveness of time management practices and tools used by staff; such tools like Task Management Apps (Trello and Asana); Calendar Apps (Google Calendar and Outlook Calendar);
		Time Tracking Tools (Toggl and Rescue Time); Project Management Software (Monday.com and Jira) etc. 7. Adjustments and Adaptations: Evaluate how well staff and students adapt to changes in schedule

S/N	Metrics	Action				
		or unexpected challenges resulting to time change.				
5	Completeness	 Identification of Data: Identify all required data for the monitoring report. Data Validation: Validate the data in ensuring that all required data were captured without missing values. Gap Analysis: Missing gaps are identified and are filled and recalculated to get new finding(s) before the data is used. 				
6	Feedback from Stakeholders	 Data Gathering: Evaluate the survey questionnaires, interview questions, selected focus groups and other methods used in gathering data from stakeholders to ensure data validity. Organisation and Analysis of Data: Evaluate the method used in organising data and the statistical methods used in analysing the data in ensuring adequate capture of stakeholders' feedback. Identification of Key Issues: Evaluate key issues raised by stakeholders. Compare with Objectives: Compare the raised issues with the objectives of tasks or activities in ensuring that the feedback is in line with what is proposed to be attained. Action Plans: Develop actionable plans to address the feedback. Assign responsibilities and timelines for implementing changes for improvement. Feedback to Stakeholders: Evaluate the process through which the stakeholders receive feedback on how their feedback were used. This will help to enhance trust and encourage stakeholders to continuously provide useful feedback. Change Monitoring: Evaluate how changes are implemented, monitored and the impact the change had on tasks or activities or outputs or automase. 				
7	Actionability	 Specificity: Evaluate the recommendations for clarity and specificity, and void of vague or general suggestions. There should be proper guidance on how the suggestions are to be implemented. Feasibility: Evaluate the reality in implementing the suggested actions considering the available resources, time, and abilities. 				

S/N	Metrics	A	ction
			Relevance: Evaluate the relevance of the
			suggested actions to tasks objectives.
		4.	Stakeholder Agreement: Evaluate the support of
			stakeholders to the proposed plan.
		5	Prioritisation: Rank the actions based on
		0.	potential impact, needs, and urgency.
		6	Implementation Plan: Develop a detailed
		0.	implementation plan outlining the steps,
			responsibilities, and timelines for each action
			with due consideration to existing resources.
		7	Risk Assessment: Evaluate the potential risks
		١٠.	and challenges associated with the
			implementation of the actions and develop
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		0	mitigation strategies.
		Ο.	Monitoring and Evaluation: Establish a system
			for monitoring and evaluating the implementation
			actions.
		9.	Feedback Mechanism: Develop channels for
			continuous feedback that would help in adjusting
0	The immed on	4	and refining actions when need be.
8	The impact on	1.	Baseline Assessment: Carryout a baseline
	Decision-Making		assessment to assess the process of decision
			making in the university. Get documented
			process.
		2.	Tracking Changes: Evaluate the changes in
			decision making after a new information has
			been provided with consideration to the
			decisions made, the speed of the decision-
			making, and the quality of decisions.
		3.	Decision Quality Metrics: Develop metrics to
			assess the quality of decisions, such as
			alignment with the university strategic plan,
		_	satisfaction of stakeholders.
		4.	Follow-Up Actions: Evaluate the actions taken
			because of decisions and their subsequent
			outcomes.
9	Quality of the	1.	Clarity and Precision: Evaluate the clarity of the
	Analysis		problem or question being addressed and its
			precision in its methodology and conclusions.
		2.	Relevance: Evaluate the relevance of the
			analysis to the objectives of the tasks or activities
			or outputs. The analysis should provide insights
			that are useful for decision-making.
		3.	Data Quality: Evaluate the accuracy, reliability,
			and completeness of the data used in the
			analysis. High quality data give result that can
			be used for effective decision making.
		4.	Methodology: Evaluate the appropriateness and
			rigor of the methods and techniques used. The

S/N	Metrics	Action
		 analysis should employ sound statistical or analytical methods that would produce useable and relevant findings. 5. Consistency: Evaluate the consistency of the analysis with previous findings and alignment with established theories or standards. Explanation should be given where there is
		deviation. 6. Depth of Insight: Evaluate the depth and meaningful insights provided rather than superficial observations. It should go beyond mere description to explaining the underlying patterns and trends.
		7. Logical Structure: Evaluate the logical flow, clear reasoning, and well-supported arguments in the findings. The conclusion should be based on evidence and sound reasoning.
		8. Transparency: Evaluate the transparency of analysis with regards to its limitations, assumptions, and any potential biases. This would help to valuate the interpretation and understanding of the results.
		Actionability: Evaluate how well the actionable recommendations or conclusions can be used for decision making with clarity.
		10. Presentations: Evaluate the presentation of the findings with emphasis on clarity and logic in communication, and visual aids used such as graphs and charts.
10	Utilisation Rate	Utilisation Metrics: Evaluate what was defined as what constitute utilisation. This could be number of times findings are referenced in decision making, changes implemented based on findings, or policies developed using data from the findings.
		2. Data Collection: Evaluate the instruments used for surveys, interviews, feedback forms, and tracking systems on how stakeholders' access and use the findings from monitoring. The evaluation outcomes will help judge the usage.
		3. Frequency Usage: Evaluate the rate at which stakeholders use the findings from monitoring through document downloads, meeting references, mentions in reports etc.
		4. Stakeholders Feedback: Evaluate the usefulness, relevance, and application of the findings to stakeholders. This can be ascertained through the feedback comments from stakeholders.

S/N	Metrics	A	ction
	-		Implementation of Recommendations: Evaluate
			the extent to which the stakeholders implement
			the recommendations provided in the monitoring
			findings. This could be the review of action
			plans, policies, and changes made based on the
			findings.
		6.	Impact Analysis: Evaluate the impact of the
			decisions and actions taken based on the
			monitoring findings. This includes assessing the
			extent the set objectives were achieved and if the
			findings led to tangible improvements.
		7.	Documentation Review: Evaluate the internal
			documentation, meeting minutes, and action
			plans to see how monitoring findings are
			integrated into decision-making process.
		8.	Benchmarking: Compare the utilisation rate with
			previous periods and similar organisations to
			gauge performance and identify areas for
			improvement.
		9.	Continuous Monitoring: Evaluate ongoing
			tracking on how monitoring findings are utilised.
		10	Reporting: Evaluate the reporting process on the
			utilisation rate and effectiveness of monitoring
			findings, and how they are shared with
			stakeholders and the success and areas that
44	01	4	needed attention.
11	Clarity and Presentation	Ι.	Audience Understanding: Evaluate the clarity of
	Presentation		presentation to the understanding of the target
			audience such as use of simple language, avoiding jargon, and providing explanation for
			complex concepts.
		2	Logical Structure: Evaluate the organisation of
		۷.	the monitoring findings with focus on logical flow,
			starting with introduction, methodology, findings,
			analysis and conclusions or recommendations.
		3	Visualisation: Evaluate the use of visuals such
		J.	as charts, graphs, and tables with regards to
			clarity, relevance, and key point illustrations with
			appropriate labels for easy to interpret.
		4.	Consistency: Evaluate the consistency in
			formatting, terminology, and style throughout the
			presentation of the monitoring findings.
		5.	Executive Summary: Evaluate the executive
			summary for its ability to concisely convey the
			main findings and recommendations. The
			overview should be clear enough such that the
			reader may not need to delve into the entire
			report.
			report.

S/N	Metrics	Action
		 Clarity of Recommendations: Evaluate the clarity of recommendations which should provide specific, actionable, and clearly linked to the findings. It is difficult to implement vague recommendations. Readability: Evaluate the readability of the text with the use of readability tools such as Grammarly. These would help identify complex sentences, passive voice and other issues that may affect clarity. Examples and Case Studies: Evaluate the real-life examples or case studies used in illustrating key points and make the findings more relatable
		and understandable.

After evaluating the monitoring findings, the second stage is to plan the evaluation metrics that would be used to evaluate the eight (8) evaluation criteria – productivity, efficiency, effectiveness, engagement, finance, learning and development, innovation, and student. At the evaluation level, the focus is to assess and present the performance of each criterion. In addition to judging each criterion, the university is interested in the quality of its products, the outcomes, the impacts, and how to continuously improve on the services. Metrics are derived to guide the evaluation of activities as shown in Table 2.2

Table 2.2 Evaluation Metrics in NOUN

Criteria	Metrics
1. Productivity	 Output Quantity (NOUN Graduates): Enrolment rate Graduation rate Retention rate Dropout rate Withdrawal rate Output Quality: Academic performance in Grade Point Average (GPA), and the rigor in completed coursework and projects. Employability:

Criteria	Metrics
Criteria	✓ Job Placement Quality by determining the relevance of the jobs obtained to the graduates' field of study. ✓ Starting Salaries using the average salaries of graduates as an indicator of the value of their education in the job market. Competencies: ✓ Knowledge evaluation of cognitive, affective, and psychomotor proficiency in the field of specialisation. ✓ Skills acquired and usage. Evaluation of professional or technical skills and general or soft skills such communication, teamwork, problemsolving, adaptation etc. ✓ Certification and Licenses. Determining the rate of graduates who obtained professional certifications or licenses. ✓ Graduate Survey: ✓ Alumni feedback on their educational experience and how well it prepared them for their careers. ✓ Employer feedback about the performance and preparedness of NOUN graduates. ✓ Further Education: ✓ Graduate school admission rates which is the evaluation of the percentage of graduates who pursue further education such as master's and doctoral programmes. ✓ Success in further education which evaluate the performance and achievements of graduates in their further studies. ✓ Research and Innovation: ✓ Research projects and publications. ✓ Innovations and patents. Evaluation of the number of patents or innovative projects led by graduates. ✓ Social Impact: ✓ Community involvement. Evaluation of the participation of graduates in community service and social initiatives.
2. Efficiency	 ✓ Leadership roles. Evaluation of the extent to which graduates take on leadership roles in their professions and communities. Time Management: evaluation of the time utilisation in producing graduates. Resource Utilisation. Evaluation of effective resources such as materials, equipment, budget are used in producing graduates.

Critoria	Motrics
3. Effectiveness	 Metrics Objective Achievement: Evaluation of the extent to which the university vision, mission, and objectives were met. Impact through: The evaluation of graduate career progression using employment rates and career advancement using promotions, job title changes, and increases in salaries over time. Professional achievements with the use of awards and recognitions received by graduates in their professional careers, publications and patents produced by graduates. Entrepreneurial success. Evaluating the number of NOUN graduates who start their business and their success rates; and innovation by measuring the impact of new products or services introduced by graduates. Community and Social Impact. Evaluating graduate volunteer work in the community and their leadership roles by tracking the extent to which graduates take on leadership roles in community organisation, non-profits, and social initiatives. Continuing Education by monitoring and evaluating the number of graduates in further studies and their success using higher grades or notable research contributions. Alumni Contributions: Assessing the strength and engagement of alumni networks and their contributions to the institution; also evaluate alumni involvement in mentoring current students or supporting university programmes. Employers' feedback; Evaluating employers feedback about the performance, skills, and preparedness of graduates; and industry partnerships to determine the success of collaborations and partnerships with industry based on graduates' impact. Societal Contributions by tracking and evaluating graduates who enter public service or governmental roles; and those in civic engagement such as voting, advocacy and community leadership.
4. Engagement	 Staff Engagement: Surveys and Questionnaires: Evaluation of staff satisfaction, workload and support needs using the feedback from staff survey. Evaluate staff teaching experience through their perceptions, challenges and successes using survey questionnaires. Focus Groups and Interviews:

Criteria	Metrics
Officia	✓ One-on-one interviews. Hold individual interview
	to get personal experiences and suggestions for improvement.
	Performance metrics. Evaluation of course completion
	rates coordinated and taught by academic staff to gauge
	their effectiveness. Will also use students feedback on
	course coordination and facilitation quality and
	engagement.
	Professional Development: evaluate staff training
	participation and skill development by considering new
	skills and knowledge acquired.
	 Technology utilisation: evaluation of the effective use of
	digital tools adopted, its availability and quality of
	technical support for staff.
	Collaboration and support: Evaluate the level of peer
	support by measuring the extent of collaboration and
	support among staff members, and mentorship
	programmes by evaluating the support received by new
	and less experienced staff.
	Workload and Work-Life Balance:
	✓ Workload Evaluation: evaluate staff workload to
	ensure it is manageable and sustainable.
	✓ Work-Life Balance: Evaluate feedback from staff
	on work-life balance to recommend adjustment
	where needed.
	Recognition and Rewards: Evaluate the systems used in asknowledging and giving awards to staff.
	acknowledging and giving awards to staff. 2. Student Engagement:
	Course Participation:
	✓ Attendance rates: Evaluate the rate of attendance in
	online facilitation (synchronous and asynchronous).
	✓ Login frequency: Evaluate the frequency of student
	login into the Moodle LMS with consideration of the
	difference activities performed by the student
	Interaction Metrics:
	✓ Participation in Discussion: Evaluating
	contributions to discussion forums and chats per
	course in the LMS.
	✓ TMA and research project Submission Rates:
	Tracking the timely submission of TMAs and
	research projects.
	Time on Task:
	✓ Engagement Time: evaluating the time students
	spend on course related activities such as watching
	instructional videos, reading course materials
	through the LMS, taking the Self-Assessments in
	the LMS course page, and completing other given
	assignments.

Criteria	Metrics
Cilleila	
	✓ Active Participation: Evaluating the time spent actively participating in learning activities versus passive consumption.
	Performance Metrics:
	✓ Assessment Scores: Analysing TMA and exam
	scores to gauge the level of understanding and engagement with the course material. Grade Trajectories: Tracking the changes in scores/grades over time to identify trends in engagement and performance.
	,
	 Feedback and Surveys: ✓ Evaluating students feedback on satisfaction, motivation, and perceived engagement through conducted surveys.
	✓ Course Evaluation: Using course evaluation to gather insights on student experiences and engagement levels.
	Behavioural Analytics:
	 ✓ Clickstream Data: Analysing the sequence of clicks and navigational patterns on the learning platform to understand engagement behaviour. ✓ Content Access: Tracking which learning content is accessed most frequently and understanding patterns of engagement. Collaborative Activities:
	 ✓ Group Work Participation: Analysing the involvement in group projects and collaborative tasks. ✓ Peer Feedback: Analysing feedback given and received in per review activities.
	Extracurricular Involvement:
	✓ Measuring attendance and engagement in school events, workshops, and seminars.
5. Finance	Rate of Fund Generation:
	Revenue Growth: Measures the increase in total revenue
	over a specific period,
	Funding Sources: Evaluate the different sources of
	funding, such as tuition feeds, grants, donations,
	partnerships, and government allocation to establish the level of financial stability, sustainability of academic and operational activities, ability to increasing access, and for effective allocation of resources.
	 Cost-per Student: Evaluate the cost per student for transparency and accountability, comparative analysis which enables the university to compare their costs with those of similar programmes and the amount generated, quality assurance which helps to maintain the quality of

Criteria	Metrics
Officia	education by ensuring that sufficient funds are available
	for learning resources and support services, budgeting
	and financial planning, and affordability.
	Return on Investment (ROI): Assess the financial return
	on investments made in the university resources and
	programmes. This helps ascertain the university's
	effectiveness in resource utilisation, justification for the
	used funds, improvement by evaluating ROI to identify
	areas that need improvement, strategic planning by
	analysing the ROI to make informed decisions about future
	investments and prioritisation,
	Enrolment Rates: Evaluate the enrolment rate to ascertain the revenue generated, budget allegation, seet officiency.
	the revenue generated, budget allocation, cost efficiency by identifying the cost per student, resource planning,
	financial sustainability, and investment decision.
	Evaluation of the retention rates of students.
	Evaluation of graduate employment rates and the
	attraction of funds from alumni and others.
	Financial Health Indicators: Evaluate the liquidity ratios,
	debt ratios, and profit margines, in line with enrolment to
	gauge the overall financial stability.
	2. Fund Utilisation Rate:
	Evaluate budget adherence of academic and operational
	activities by comparing budgeted amount with actual
	spendings to identify variances. Also, the percentage of budget utilisation within a specific period.
	Evaluate the cost efficiency by determining the cost per
	unit i.e. measuring the cost incurred per unit of graduate
	and cost savings by identifying the areas where cost
	savings have been achieved without compromising
	quality.
	Resource allocation. Allocation ratios by assessing the
	distribution of funds across academic and operational
	activities; and spending prioritisation by evaluating
	whether funds are being allocated to high priority areas.
	 Programme Effectiveness: ✓ Outcome Achievement: Measuring the extent to
	which funded programmes and projects have
	achieved their intended outcomes.
	✓ Impact Assessment: Evaluating the broader impact
	of funded activities on the target population or
	objectives.
	Financial Ratios:
	✓ Liquidity Ratios: Assessing the university's ability
	to meet its short-term obligations in academic and
	operational demands.

Ouitouio	Matrica
Criteria	Metrics
	✓ Debt Ratios: Evaluating the proportion of debts in the university financial structure by allocation made to programmes, faculties, etc.
	Compliance and Reporting:
	✓ Audit Results: Reviewing audit findings to ensure compliance with financial regulations and standards.
	✓ Timely Reporting: Monitoring and evaluating the timelines and accuracy of financial reporting. This covers allocations to faculties, directorates, staff sponsorships to bursary and the bursary reporting on academic and operational finances.
	Utilisation Rate:
	✓ Fund utilisation rate by determining the percentage of available funds that have been utilised within a specific period.
	✓ Unspent funds by identifying the amount of funds that remain unspent at the end of the period.
	 Efficiency Metrics: ✓ Operational efficiency: Measuring the efficiency of
	operational emissions. Measuring the emissions of operations in terms of cost management and resource utilisation by evaluating the cost efficiency associated with academic programme delivery
	including technology, administrative expenses and other expenses leading to student graduation to ensure they are within budget and provide value for
	money.
	✓ Project Efficiency by evaluating the efficiency of academic and operational project execution and delivery.
6. Learning and	1. Skill Development:
Development	Staff:
	✓ Professional Development Participation: Evaluation of professional development by assessing trainings attended, certification achievement, and relevance of the training.
	✓ Skill Improvement Assessments: Evaluation of skill level before and after trainings to measure improvement.
	✓ Teaching Effectiveness: Evaluate staff teaching effectiveness through the feedback received from
	students on the course(s) coordinated, facilitated, student researched supervised, and course completion rates of courses coordinated, facilitated, and research projected supervised. ✓ Engagement in innovative practices. Tracking and evaluating innovative development that has added
	value to teaching, learning, research, and community development.

0	Matrica
Criteria	Metrics Callabaration and Mantasinan Fusion of Assault
	✓ Collaboration and Mentoring: Evaluation of team projects where staff has been involved in and tracking and evaluating where staff has been involved in mentorship programmes both as mentors and mentees.
	✓ Continuous Learning: Evaluation of courses enrolled in and earned certificates, and conference attendance in respect of added value to the staff job description.
	✓ Performance Metrics: Evaluation of individual and departmental goals, recognition and awards received.
	✓ Feedback and surveys: Evaluation of Self- Assessment survey conducted by the Directorate of Human Resources and review SOP compliance reports of staff.
	Students:
	✓ Academic Performance: Evaluation of TMAs and exam assessment questions and scores, and Grade Point Average (GPA).
	✓ Practical Skills Development: Evaluation of students' research projects, case studies, practical assignments, skill-based assessments to measure the acquisition of practical skills such as lab work, simulations, and solving real-world problems through given tasks.
	Competency-based evaluation: Evaluation of the stated programme competencies in the DPP through observations or practical exams, TMAs and exam questions and corresponding students' responses by reviewing students' answer booklets of 5% top scorers and 5% lowest scorers in selected courses. Also, examination of students' portfolios that showcase their work and skills development over time would be used if available.
	✓ Engagement and participation: Track students' participation in online discussion forums, group work, and interactive activities to evaluate the quality of contribution, and amount of time spent on course related activities which will help to
	determine the required hours of study. ✓ Feedback and Reflection: Evaluation of students' Self-Assessment Exercises in the LMS using the number of attempts before getting the right answers to determine the level of skill mastery. Peer feedback on collaborative projects and activities
	are also used to determine students' mastery. ✓ Practical Application: Evaluation of students' performance in ascertaining the level of

Criteria	Metrics
	competency gained through internships and practicums, and capstone projects by assessing comprehensive projects that integrate and apply the learned skills. ✓ Soft/General Skills Development: Evaluation of students involvement in teamwork and collaboration, and testing their skills in communication, and problem solving skills through the type of learning activities development for learning and the students' performance. ✓ Progress Tracking: This is achieved through learning analytics and adaptive learning. Evaluate how data analytics are used to track student progress to identify areas of improvement, and how adaptive learning technologies are implemented for personalised learning experience and provide insights into skill development.
	2. Training Effectiveness: ✓ Evaluating the knowledge and skills before and after staff has completed sponsored training (short or long) from the university or TETFUND or others recognised by the university to measure improvement by assessing the tasks where the new skill(s) were demonstrated showing job performance improvement, and goal achievement. ✓ Evaluating the behavioural changes after training by gathering feedback from colleagues, Deans, HODs, Directors, and Heads of Units. ✓ Evaluating the training completion and dropout rates. ✓ Evaluating participants feedback on trainings organised or supported by the university. ✓ Return on Investment (ROI): Evaluate the costbenefit analysis by comparing the cost of training with the benefits gained, such as higher students' pass rate, students' retention rate, increased enrolment rate, increased graduation rate, and
	 increased productivity and reduced errors. Students: Compare pre-and post-semester assessment scores to evaluate knowledge gain and assist students with poor performance using grades, GPA, and CGPA. ✓ Evaluate students' skill applications through projects, practical assignments, and real-world tasks.

Criteria	Metrics
	 ✓ Evaluation of students' engagement and participation through participation in facilitations (synchronous and asynchronous) and trainings. ✓ Evaluating the behavioural changes of students through survey feedback from Centre Directors, facilitators, and markers. ✓ Assessing the university's efforts that support student knowledge retention either through follow-up assessments or providing refresher training for students by giving access to students access to past question for exams and recorded live facilitation sessions. ✓ Evaluate the achievement of programme and course learning outcomes by measuring goal alignment and evaluating the mastery of specific competencies stated in the programme and course outcomes. ✓ Evaluate the long-term impact on students' training by tracking the impact of training on students' career progression, including job placements and promotions.
7. Innovation	 Number of New Ideas by staff, students, or through staff and students' collaboration. Impact of Innovations on the university and community.
8. Student	 Student Satisfaction: Student Retention:

2.3 Implementation of Evaluation Design

The following steps are adopted in the implementation:

- 1. The M&E or QA officer evaluate the findings of monitoring using the guide provided in Table 2.1.
- 2. The officer follows the Evaluation Metrics in NOUN presented in Table 2.2 to evaluate the university performance.
- 3. Apart from using the evidence in the monitoring findings, the evaluator could have one-on-chat with concerned staff or request for certain documents for verification if need be.
- 4. The officer writes the evaluation report in the assigned criterion and submit to the Director, QA for review and necessary **actions**.

2.4: Evaluation Findings and Communication

Communicating the evaluation findings is essential for transparency, accountability, and continuous improvement. In presenting the evaluation findings and ensuring clear communication, the following are the basic features when presenting the evaluation findings.

1. Executive Summary:

- Brief overview: A concise summary of the key findings, conclusions, and recommendations.
- Highlights: Focus on the most significant outcomes and implications.

2. Data Visualisation:

- Uses charts and graphs to clearly present findings for easy interpretations.
- Uses tables to organise complex data to ease reading and for quick reference.

3. Detailed Report:

- Introduction: State the purpose, scope, and objectives of the evaluation.
- Methodology: Describe the methods and tools used for data collection and analysis.
- Analysis and Interpretations: Use statistical tool to derive meaning from the data collected and interpret the outcome as found.
- Findings: Presenting detailed findings, supported by data and evidence.
- Recommendations: Provide clear and specific recommendations based on the evaluation findings.
- 4. Communicate findings to the Director, QA to prepare the M&E report for the period under consideration.

3.0: Writing M&E Reports

In NOUN, systematic process is used to track progress, measures results, and assess the overall impact of academic and operational activities. The findings are communicated to the stakeholders' using reports. This module explains the structure and the mode used in communicating the reports.

3.1: Planning Writing M&E Reports

At the completion of monitoring and evaluation activities, the next stage is to write a report that would be used to communicate the findings to the stakeholders. The report combines the findings of monitoring and evaluation. The first thing to do is planning to write the reports using the following steps:

Step 1: State the monitoring and evaluation criteria

Step 2: State the monitoring findings.

Step 3: State the evaluation findings.

Step 4: Align the Monitoring Findings with the evaluation findings using Table 3.1 as guide:

Table 3.1: Aligning Monitoring Findings with Evaluation Findings

1	2	3	4	5
S/N	Monitoring Findings	Alignment with Evaluation Findings	Data Justification	Identified Gap(s)
	_	_	_	

Table 3.1 has five (5) columns. In column 2, state the monitoring findings and align them with evaluation findings in column 3. Under column 4 state the data that justifies the findings. In column 5, state the identified gap(s).

- Step 5: Align the M&E findings with university vision, mission, objectives, core values and related policies. From the alignment, determine the level of achievement, gaps, and what should be done for continuous improvement.
- Step 6: Gather all the data and information you need for the report such as having adequate knowledge on the background for the monitoring and evaluation.

3.2: Writing the M&E Reports

The next stage is translating the plan into a written document that would be presented to the stakeholders (university management, staff, students, regulatory bodies, and industry partners). Here is the format for writing an M&E report:

- 1. **Executive Summary**: A concise overview of the report's main findings, recommendations, and conclusions is provided.
- 2. **Introduction**: Detailed information is given about the context of the category. This includes the category objectives in line with the university vision, mission, objectives, core values, related institutional policies, and stakeholders.
- 3. **Purpose**: The usefulness of the category report in the university operations are stated.
- 4. Methodology: Explanation is given on the design used in collecting and analysing data by stating the category, components in the category, type of data that were collected, the population or sample the data were collected from, how the data were collected, and method of analysing the data. This brings in transparency and allows the readers to evaluate the credibility of the findings. The adopted design is shown in Figure 3.1




Figure 3.1 Monitoring and Evaluation Reporting Methodology Design

The report clearly states the category, its objectives, the data used, method of data collection and analysis, and interpretation. The interpretation reflects the objectives of the category.

- 5. **Data Analysis and Interpretations**: The data collected are analysed using descriptive statistics and, in some instance, inferential statistics depending on what the objectives in the category demands. The analysed data are interpreted explaining their significance and implications.
- Findings: Presentation of detailed findings which are derived from the data collected and subjected to statistical analysis. The findings are verifiable from the data collected.
- 7. **Recommendations**: Actionable insights that offers clear and specific recommendations based on the findings from the monitoring and evaluation.

8. **Appendices (if any)**: In some cases, additional information is provided to complement the stated findings. This sometimes is the raw data collected which is verifiable.

3.3: The Importance of M&E Reports in NOUN

In NOUN, M&E reports are used to improve academic and operational activities in different ways.

- It guides university management in decision making such as policy review, policy reinforcement, staff productivity, and fund allocation to the various categories,
- 2. Is used by faculty in selecting the right pedagogy for learning and delivery of contents, course material review, strategizing the learning support provided for learners, allocation of student workload, change in test item generation, and selecting new learning experiences that could be learned or taught.
- The Directors and Heads of units use the reports to review their activities in the directorates and units. This could lead to a change in the steps taken in achieving a task.
- 4. It helps to motivate learners' learning when they know that their activities are of concern to the university management.
- 5. From the reports, strategies are developed to reduce attrition rates for both staff and learners.
- 6. It enhances accountability and transparency which motivate staff, collaboration, and sponsorships from government and other donors.

3.4: Challenges of M&E Reports

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) reports provides strategic oversight of academic and operational activities in NOUN by offering insights into progress, effectiveness, efficiency, and impact. However, producing these reports are not without challenges which can impact their quality, utility, and decision-making processes which they are meant to inform. Some of the major challenges are:

- 1. Quality and Availability of Data: The Quality Assurance Officers (QAOs) mostly work with data generated by faculty, directorates, centres, and units. Most of the data is collected from the Directorate of Management Information Systems (MIS), Directorate of Information and Communication Technology (DICT), Directorate of Learning Content Management System (DLCMS), Directorate of Learner Support Services (DLSS), Directorate of Examination and Assessment (DEA), Directorate of NOUN Press (DNP), Directorate of Research Administration (DRA), Directorate of Linkages and Advancement (DLA), faculties, and NOUN Quality Management System (NQMS). So, the quality of information found in the aforementioned determines the quality of report that is being produced.
- 2. Stakeholder Engagement: It is challenging engaging the learners (present and past), Deans, Heads of Departments, Directors, Heads of Units, senior management staff, and industry in M&E process. Often you meet with varied interests and perspectives which complicate consensus on objectives, indicators, and interpretation of data. However, the QAOs tries to bring everyone to focus on the Senate approved objectives, indicators and perspectives with noting of the difference for further consideration.
- 3. The Complexity of Measuring Impact: Measuring the impact of learning received by the learners as long term effects and attributions, is complex. This involves tracking of graduates to know what they do and their impact on the economic development of the society. QAOs work with the Alumni Association through the Directorate of Advancement and Linkages (DAL) to carry out tracer study on graduates. However, not every graduate is reached.
- 4. Ethical and Privacy Concerns: Some directorates are concerned about the confidentiality and privacy of their data and sometimes not willing to release such data that would be found useful in M&E reports. Exclusion of vital data from reporting could reduce the effectiveness of the use of M&E reports. Therefore, QAOs are trained on how to handle confidential data and balance transparency with crucial matters.