



National Open University of Nigeria

SOP Title	Directorate of Quality Assurance
SOP No:	NQSA/SOP/IGM/001
Owner:	National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN)
Approved By:	The University Senate
Manager/Driver:	The Director, QA
Date of Approval:	
Date of Next Review:	The date will be 3 years from the date of approval (to be inserted after approval)

Purpose

The QA Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is developed to check the compliance of staff with SOPs, monitor, and evaluate academic and operational activities in the universities for continuous improvement.

Activities

The following are the major activities in the directorate:

1. Compliance
2. Monitoring
3. Evaluation

4. Administrative Functions
5. Secretarial Functions
6. QA Site Management
7. Management of NQMS
8. Ad Hoc Activities

Activities and Actions

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
1	Compliance	1. The Compliance Coordinator assign task to the QA Officers in NQMS in line with the DQA SOP	5 Days		Availability of assigned tasks in NQMS
		2. QA Officers request and receives SOPs with Action By from Faculties, directorates, centres and units.	21 Days		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. List of received QA Officer approved SOPs with Action By from the faculties, directorates, centres, and units. 2. Memo of sending the QA approved SOPs to the Director, QA.
		3. QA Officers assign tasks to staff in NQMS in accordance with the approved SOPs with Action By	7 Days		List of staff by Name, ID, faculty or Directorate or Study Centre or Academic Centre or Unit that have been assigned.
		4. The QA Officer provide guidance and assessed the	90 Days		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Messaging logs on the NQMS showing the

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		evidence uploaded by staff into the NQMS using the scoring rubrics and required evidence on the given task(s) as stated in the SOP with feedback.			<p>communication between QA Officers and the staff.</p> <p>2. Link to list of staff assessed by staff ID, Name, faculty or directorate or centre or unit, task, score, and date assessed. You can also provide link to the assessed staff.</p>
		5. Validation of the scores awarded and feedback comments given by the QA Officers	90 Days		<p>Message logs in NQMS showing feedback comments from the Director to QA Officers on at least 5% sampled scores awarded by the QA Officers. The metrics for verification are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The identified gap(s) 2. Suggested improvement 3. Score 4. Adequacy of the use of the rubric and required evidence for scoring.
		6. Feedback from QA Officers to the Director on the Director's comments on validated scores	90 Days		<p>Message logs in NQMS showing feedback comments from QA Officers to the Director of either agreeing or disagreeing to the Director's comments on validated scores.</p>

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		7. Scoring of QA Staff Compliance Activities.	95 Days		QA staff scores in NQMS.
2	Monitoring	1. Download the monitoring and evaluation framework of the university from QA website. 2. Download NOUN Internal Monitoring Tool	2 Days		Link to the downloaded monitoring and evaluation framework of NOUN, and NOUN Internal Monitoring Tool
		3. Identify the activities to be monitored in the assigned faculty, directorate, centre and units	5 Days		List of identified activities for monitoring by the assigned faculty, directorate, centre and unit in tabular form.
		4. DQA sends out the NOUN Internal Monitoring Tool (NIMT) to Deans, Heads of Departments, Directors, and Heads of Units for self-evaluation before the QA biannual monitoring exercise. To be sent a month before the monitoring exercise.	3 Days		1. A copy of the memo sent out. 2. Copy(s) of follow up memos if there is follow up. This should be ignored if there is no follow up.
		4. DQA receives self-evaluation reports from Deans, Heads of Departments, Directors, and Heads of Units. Two weeks before the start of the monitoring exercise.	7 Days		List of self-evaluation reports received by serial number, name of sender, date received and remarks.

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		5. QA Director send the self-evaluation reports to QA officers for analysis and preparation for the biannual monitoring exercise	5 Days		A copy of memo or WhatsApp message used in communicating the self-evaluation reports to QA officers.
		6. Weekly Routine monitoring of academic and operational activities	6 days		Routine Monitoring Weekly Report
		7. Bi-annual monitoring Exercise (June and December)	14 Days		Monitoring Report
		8. Monitoring of the implementations of suggested Senate approved improvements derived from past internal and external monitoring, and accreditation reports.	30 Days		QA Officer Report presented with the Monitoring Tool for the Implementation of Suggested Improvements.
		9. Presentation of Reports by the QA Officers to M&E Coordinator in a signed document in both soft and hard copies.	10 Days		Reports from the monitoring exercise with specified dates showing level of progress on: a. Institutional governance and management b. Teaching and learning c. Collaboration, partnership, and linkages

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> d. Research, innovation, and technology e. Study Centres
		10. Presentation of the monitoring exercise reports to the Director, QA	7 Days		Monitoring reports within a specified period showing level of progress on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Institutional governance and management b. Teaching and learning c. Collaboration, partnership, and linkages d. Research, innovation, and technology e. Study Centres
		11. QA Director review and send the Monitoring reports to QA officers for evaluation	14 Days		Final Monitoring Report
3	Evaluation	1. Evaluate the findings from monitoring to determine its outcomes and impacts using the NOUN guide in Evaluating the Findings from Monitoring Activities as presented in Table 2.1 in	14 Days		Evaluation report of Findings from Monitoring with the following metrics: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objectives 2. Relevance to standards 3. Data accuracy 4. Timeliness

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		NOUN Monitoring and Evaluation Framework and NOUN Strategic Plan			5. Completeness 6. Feedback from stakeholders 7. Actionability 8. Impact on decision making 9. Quality of the analysis 10. Utilisation rate 11. Clarity and presentation
		2. From the findings in Monitoring, planning evaluation metrics using Evaluation Metrics in NOUN (Table 2.2) in NOUN framework for monitoring and evaluation.	7 Days		Link to a list in a tabular form showing the planned metrics that will be used under the following criteria as applicable to the assigned task/activities: 1. Productivity 2. Efficiency 3. Effectiveness 4. Engagement 5. Finance 6. Learning and development 7. Innovation 8. Student
		3. Clarification of facts through one-on-one chat with concerned staff or request for certain documents for verification where needed.	14 Days		1. Link to list of clarified facts. 2. Link to the list of facts on which clarification was needed by the document on which the clarification was

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)						
					<p>sought and the clarification derived from the document. Presented thus:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1585 475 2027 600"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1585 475 1729 539">Existing Fact</th> <th data-bbox="1729 475 1872 539">Document sought</th> <th data-bbox="1872 475 2027 539">Verification found</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1585 539 1729 600"></td> <td data-bbox="1729 539 1872 600"></td> <td data-bbox="1872 539 2027 600"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Existing Fact	Document sought	Verification found			
Existing Fact	Document sought	Verification found									
		<p>4. Presentation of evaluation report by QA Officers in the assigned tasks and activities to M&E Coordinator.</p>	<p>15 Days</p>		<p>Link to evaluation report showing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Evaluation report reflect the following criteria: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Productivity b. Efficiency c. Effectiveness d. Engagement e. Finance f. Learning and development g. Innovation h. Student 2. The evaluation report is presented in the following format: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Introduction: State the purpose, scope, and objectives of the evaluation. 						

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. Methodology: Explaining the methods and tools used for data collection and analysis. c. Analysis and interpretations: Using statistical tool to derive meaning from the data collected and interpret the outcome as found. d. Findings: Presentation of detailed findings, supported by data and evidence from the analysis. e. Recommendations: Provide clear and specific recommendations based on the evaluation findings.
		5. Collation and presentation of QA Officers evaluation reports to the Director, QA.	7 Days		Link to the holistic evaluation report presented in the following format:

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Introduction: State the purpose, scope, and objectives of the evaluation. b. Methodology: Explaining the methods and tools used for data collection and analysis. c. Analysis and interpretations: Using statistical tool to derive meaning from the data collected and interpret the outcome as found. d. Findings: Presentation of detailed findings, supported by data and evidence from the analysis. e. Recommendations: Provide clear and specific recommendations based on the evaluation findings.

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		<p>6. Planning the writing of M&E report:</p> <p>a. The M&E prepares the draft and sent to the Director, QA for review and approval for writing the report.</p> <p>b. The Director review and approve the plan for writing the M&E report</p>	7 Days		<p>1. Link to the evaluation plan by the M&E Coordinator showing holistic view of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Monitoring and evaluation criteria 2. Monitoring findings 3. Evaluation findings 4. Alignment of monitoring findings with evaluation findings in a Table format. 5. Alignment of the M&E findings with the university vision, mission, objectives, core values and related policies showing level of achievement, gaps, suggestions for continuous improvement. <p>2. Link to the Director's reviewed copy.</p> <p><i>Note: The M&E coordinator is to supply the evidence in 'a'</i></p>

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
					<i>while the Director is to supply the evidence in 'b'</i>
		7. Writes M&E report	14 Days		Link to evaluation report submitted to the Vice Chancellor in the following format: 1. Executive summary 2. Introduction 3. Purpose 4. Methodology 5. Data analysis and interpretations 6. Findings 7. Recommendations 8. Appendices (if any)
4	Administrative Functions	1. Scheduling meetings with: a. DQA staff. b. QA representatives from faculties, directorates, centres and units.	120 Days		Link to extract of meetings or minutes of meetings.
		2. Coordinating scheduled meetings	120 Days		Link to extract of meetings or minutes of meetings.
		3. Organising training sessions for QA staff, university staff	120 Days		1. Memo for the call for training 2. Attendance list

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		and students on internal quality assurance			3. Photo shots from the trainings
		4. Coordination: Liaising with university management, faculties, directorates, centres, and units in ensuring quality processes and procedures in their activities.	120 Days		List of verifiable outcomes/achievements
		5. Audit and Review: Conducting regular audits and reviews of QA processes to identify areas for improvement and ensure continuous quality enhancement.	120 Days		List of verifiable changes made on internal QA processes.
		6. Reporting: Generating and distributing reports on QA findings, including gaps, and overall quality metrics to the university management, faculties, directorates, centres, and unit.	30 Days		Links to the reports
		7. Documentation: a. The Director creates and ensures maintenance of	90 Days		a. List of QA documents guiding the quality assurance processes and procedures in the

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		<p>detailed records of QA processes.</p> <p>b. The admin officer ensures the safe keeping and easy retrieval of all records.</p> <p>c. The admin officer track DQA staff records for leaves, trainings, documentation on assumption of duty, follow-ups on human resource matters, etc.</p>			<p>Directorate and NOUN. To be provided by the Director.</p> <p>b. List of updated records. To be provided by Mrs. Manzo</p> <p>c. List of DQA staff showing date of assumption of duty in DQA, records of leaves enjoyed, training received, major achievement within a specific period. To be provided by Mrs. Manzo.</p>
		8. Distribution of outgoing mails and receiving of incoming mails	90 Days		List of outgoing and incoming mails with dates.
		<p>9. Resource management:</p> <p>a. The Director allocates and manages human and non-human resources in the directorate.</p> <p>b. The most senior admin ensures the safety and adequate utilisation of non-human resources.</p>	90 Days		<p>a. List of human resources and duties. To be provided by the director.</p> <p>b. List of non-human resources and usage. To be provided by Mrs. Manzo.</p>

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		10. Assessment of the non-QA officers on compliance	90 Days		See All Staff Assessment on NQMS
5	Secretarial Functions	1. Office Management: Ensuring that office supplies and equipment are maintained, ordered, and stocked as needed. This involves liaising with university store and service providers.	90 Days		List of supplies and utilisation within a specified period.
		2. Information Management: maintaining databases and filing systems, ensuring information is accurate, accessible, and up to date.	90 Days		Audit Reports: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conducting regular internal audits and maintaining audit reports that highlight the accuracy and completeness of data. 2. Document findings, improvements made, and any discrepancies resolved. 3. File management records that shows the implementation of a version control system that tracks changes to

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)									
					documents and maintain previous versions for reference.									
		3. Meeting support: a. Taking minutes during meetings, distributing meeting agendas and minutes, and following up on action items. b. Organising refreshment for meetings.	90 Days		a. Link to minutes of meetings or meeting extracts. To be provided by Mrs. Manzo. b. List of refreshment provided, its costs, and photo evidence for distribution and consumption. To be provided by Miss Anoruo.									
		4. Communication: Acting as a point of contact between the DQA office and external parties including faculties, directorates, centres, units, and other stakeholders of the university	90 Days		List of external parties and major outcomes of the information provided in the following format. <table border="1" data-bbox="1581 957 2029 1094"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1581 957 1731 1026">External Party</th> <th data-bbox="1731 957 1881 1026">Guide Provided</th> <th data-bbox="1881 957 2029 1026">Outcome</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Where there was no such activity, Say so.</p>	External Party	Guide Provided	Outcome						
External Party	Guide Provided	Outcome												
		5. Managing DQA emails	90 Days		Report on DQA emails stating the total number of emails received, number of emails that									

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
					were QA related matters, and number of the QA related matters that were resolved, name of DQA staff that sent mails or received mails through the DQA email address within the period under review.
		6. Assisting with Financial Tasks: a. Managing invoices, expense reports, petty cash, and budget tracking b. Retirements of monies spent to bursary	90 Days		Report of monthly retirements.
		7. Administrative Support: Drafting letters and memos for the Director, support in data entry, photocopying, scanning, and organising documents.	90 Days		Report on major activities stating the specific activity – drafting memo or letter, entry data, photocopying, scanning and organising document. Indicate the name of the document(s) in which the support was provided.
6	QA Site Management	1. Liaising with DICT in ensuring continuous improvement of the web site	90 Days		Link to QA web site.

S/N	Activity (Assigned Task)	Steps (Task Description)	Maximum Days to Complete Each Step	Action by (Name and ID Number)	Expected evidence (output) of completed Activity (Task)
		2. Ensuring up-to-date information on the QA site. 3. Upload QA documents such as policies, SOPs, and others as may be required into the site.			
7	Management of NQMS	Ensuring adequate functionality of the platform	90 Days		Feedback report from users
8	Ad Hoc Activities	The nature of activity will determine the steps.	In line with the time frame for the activity		The outcome of the activity

Note:

Though the maximum days to complete each step is stated, the staff activities will be monitored and assessed on a weekly basis till the end on the maximum days given. Every Monday, the Director will assess the tasks of the previous week. This is to ensure compliance.

Team of Developers

1. Prof. Obhajajie Juliet Inegbedion
2. Mr. Kyom Sidi
3. Mrs. Blessing Ozukwe
4. Ms. Nora Onwumelu

5. Mr. Ikechukwu Onyia
6. Mr. Ozoemene Okolo
7. Mr. Okoronkwo Eze
8. Mr. Attahiru Abdul
9. Mr. Igoru Okiemute
10. Mr. Abubakar Maigandi
11. Mr. Jahju Narbe Isa

Draft